



Nowhere dense sets corresponding to summable ideals

Jana Flašková

flaskova@kma.zcu.cz

University of West Bohemia, Pilsen
Czech Republic

Čech-Stone compactification of ω

Definition.

Čech-Stone compactification of ω is a compact topological space $\beta\omega$ such that:

- ω is a dense subspace of $\beta\omega$
- every (continuous) function $f : \omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ can be extended to a continuous function $\beta f : \beta\omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$

Čech-Stone compactification of ω

Definition.

Čech-Stone compactification of ω is a compact topological space $\beta\omega$ such that:

- ω is a dense subspace of $\beta\omega$
- every (continuous) function $f : \omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ can be extended to a continuous function $\beta f : \beta\omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$

$\omega^* = \beta\omega \setminus \omega$ is called remainder of $\beta\omega$

Ultrafilters on ω

Definition.

A family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ is called a **filter** on ω if:

- $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ and $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$
- if $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ then $F_1 \cap F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$
- if $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $F \subseteq G \subseteq X$ then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.

Ultrafilters on ω

Definition.

A family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ is called a **filter** on ω if:

- $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ and $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$
- if $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ then $F_1 \cap F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$
- if $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $F \subseteq G \subseteq X$ then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.

If moreover \mathcal{F} satisfies

- for every $M \subseteq \omega$ either $M \in \mathcal{F}$ or $\omega \setminus M \in \mathcal{F}$

then \mathcal{F} is called an **ultrafilter**.

Topology on ω^*

Points in $\beta\omega$ may be identified with ultrafilters on ω :

points in $\omega \leftrightarrow$ fixed ultrafilters

points in $\omega^* \leftrightarrow$ free ultrafilters

Topology on ω^*

Points in $\beta\omega$ may be identified with ultrafilters on ω :

points in $\omega \leftrightarrow$ fixed ultrafilters

points in $\omega^* \leftrightarrow$ free ultrafilters

Topology on ω^* is generated by clopen sets:

$$A^* = \{\mathcal{U} : A \in \mathcal{U}\}, \quad A \in [\omega]^\omega$$

Topology on ω^*

Points in $\beta\omega$ may be identified with ultrafilters on ω :

points in $\omega \leftrightarrow$ fixed ultrafilters

points in $\omega^* \leftrightarrow$ free ultrafilters

Topology on ω^* is generated by clopen sets:

$$A^* = \{\mathcal{U} : A \in \mathcal{U}\}, \quad A \in [\omega]^\omega$$

Some more facts about ω^* :

- zero-dimensional
- cardinality $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$
- dense-in-itself

Ideals on ω

Definition.

An **ideal** on ω is a family $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ such that:

- $\mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ and $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$
- if $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ then $A_1 \cup A_2 \in \mathcal{I}$
- if $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \subseteq A \subseteq \omega$ then $B \in \mathcal{I}$.

Ideals on ω

Definition.

An **ideal** on ω is a family $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ such that:

- $\mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ and $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$
- if $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ then $A_1 \cup A_2 \in \mathcal{I}$
- if $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \subseteq A \subseteq \omega$ then $B \in \mathcal{I}$.

Examples: **Fin** = finite subsets of ω

$$\mathcal{I}_{1/n} = \left\{ A \subseteq \mathbb{N} : \sum_{a \in A} \frac{1}{a} < \infty \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_0 = \left\{ A \subseteq \mathbb{N} : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap n|}{n} = 0 \right\}$$

Summable ideals

Definition.

Given a function $g : \omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n \in \omega} g(n) = \infty$ then the family

$$\mathcal{I}_g = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega : \sum_{a \in A} g(a) < +\infty \right\}$$

is a proper ideal which we call summable ideal determined by function g .

Summable ideals

Definition.

Given a function $g : \omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n \in \omega} g(n) = \infty$ then the family

$$\mathcal{I}_g = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega : \sum_{a \in A} g(a) < +\infty \right\}$$

is a proper ideal which we call summable ideal determined by function g .

We will consider only tall summable ideals.

Ideals and open sets

Definition.

An ideal \mathcal{I} on ω is **tall (dense)** if for every infinite set $A \subseteq \omega$ there exists $B \in [A]^\omega$ such that $B \in \mathcal{I}$.

Ideals and open sets

Definition.

An ideal \mathcal{I} on ω is **tall (dense)** if for every infinite set $A \subseteq \omega$ there exists $B \in [A]^\omega$ such that $B \in \mathcal{I}$.

A summable ideal is tall if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(n) = 0$.

Ideals and open sets

Definition.

An ideal \mathcal{I} on ω is **tall (dense)** if for every infinite set $A \subseteq \omega$ there exists $B \in [A]^\omega$ such that $B \in \mathcal{I}$.

A summable ideal is tall if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(n) = 0$.

To every ideal \mathcal{I} on ω assign an open set

$$\sigma(\mathcal{I}) = \bigcup \{A^* : A \in \mathcal{I}\}$$

and a closed set

$$\delta(\mathcal{I}) = \omega^* \setminus \sigma(\mathcal{I})$$

Ideals and (nowhere) dense sets

$$\sigma(\mathcal{I}) = \{\mathcal{U} \in \omega^* : \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{I} \neq \emptyset\}$$

$$\delta(\mathcal{I}) = \{\mathcal{U} \in \omega^* : \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset\} = \{\mathcal{U} \in \omega^* : \mathcal{I}^* \subseteq \mathcal{U}\}$$

Ideals and (nowhere) dense sets

$$\sigma(\mathcal{I}) = \{\mathcal{U} \in \omega^* : \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{I} \neq \emptyset\}$$

$$\delta(\mathcal{I}) = \{\mathcal{U} \in \omega^* : \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset\} = \{\mathcal{U} \in \omega^* : \mathcal{I}^* \subseteq \mathcal{U}\}$$

Lemma.

For an ideal \mathcal{I} on ω the following are equivalent:

- \mathcal{I} is tall
- $\sigma(\mathcal{I})$ is dense in ω^*
- $\delta(\mathcal{I})$ is nowhere dense in ω^*

Ideals and (nowhere) dense sets

$$\sigma(\mathcal{I}) = \{\mathcal{U} \in \omega^* : \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{I} \neq \emptyset\}$$

$$\delta(\mathcal{I}) = \{\mathcal{U} \in \omega^* : \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{I} = \emptyset\} = \{\mathcal{U} \in \omega^* : \mathcal{I}^* \subseteq \mathcal{U}\}$$

Lemma.

For an ideal \mathcal{I} on ω the following are equivalent:

- \mathcal{I} is tall
- $\sigma(\mathcal{I})$ is dense in ω^*
- $\delta(\mathcal{I})$ is nowhere dense in ω^*

If $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ then $\sigma(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{J})$ and $\delta(\mathcal{I}) \supseteq \delta(\mathcal{J})$.

The problem

Problem S.4. (van Douwen [1978])

Is it true in ZFC that $\bigcup_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_\omega} \beta\pi[A] \neq \omega^*$ whenever $A \subseteq \omega^*$ is nowhere dense? What if $A = \delta(\mathcal{Z}_0)$ or $A = \delta(\mathcal{I}_{1/n})$?

The problem

Problem S.4. (van Douwen [1978])

Is it true in ZFC that $\bigcup_{\pi \in S_\omega} \beta\pi[A] \neq \omega^*$ whenever $A \subseteq \omega^*$ is nowhere dense? What if $A = \delta(\mathcal{Z}_0)$ or $A = \delta(\mathcal{I}_{1/n})$?

Problem 235. (Hart, van Mill [1990])

For what nowhere dense sets $A \subseteq \omega^*$ do we have $\bigcup_{\pi \in S_\omega} \beta\pi[A] \neq \omega^*$?

The problem

Problem S.4. (van Douwen [1978])

Is it true in ZFC that $\bigcup_{\pi \in S_\omega} \beta\pi[A] \neq \omega^*$ whenever $A \subseteq \omega^*$ is nowhere dense? What if $A = \delta(\mathcal{Z}_0)$ or $A = \delta(\mathcal{I}_{1/n})$?

Problem 235. (Hart, van Mill [1990])

For what nowhere dense sets $A \subseteq \omega^*$ do we have $\bigcup_{\pi \in S_\omega} \beta\pi[A] \neq \omega^*$?

Theorem (Gryzlov)

For $A = \delta(\mathcal{Z}_0)$ we have $\bigcup_{\pi \in S_\omega} \beta\pi[A] \neq \omega^*$.

Results

Theorem 1.

For $A = \delta(\mathcal{I}_{1/n})$ we have $\bigcup_{\pi \in S_\omega} \beta\pi[A] \neq \omega^*$.

Results

Theorem 1.

For $A = \delta(\mathcal{I}_{1/n})$ we have $\bigcup_{\pi \in S_\omega} \beta\pi[A] \neq \omega^*$.

If $\mathcal{U} \in \omega^* \setminus \bigcup_{\pi \in S_\omega} \beta\pi[A]$ then for all $\pi \in S_\omega$ there exists set $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with $\pi[U] \in \mathcal{I}_{1/n}$.

Results

Theorem 1.

For $A = \delta(\mathcal{I}_{1/n})$ we have $\bigcup_{\pi \in S_\omega} \beta\pi[A] \neq \omega^*$.

If $\mathcal{U} \in \omega^* \setminus \bigcup_{\pi \in S_\omega} \beta\pi[A]$ then for all $\pi \in S_\omega$ there exists set $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with $\pi[U] \in \mathcal{I}_{1/n}$.

Definition A.

An ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on ω is called a **summable ultrafilter** if for every one-to-one function $f : \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $f[U] \in \mathcal{I}_{1/n}$.

Results

Theorem 1.

For $A = \delta(\mathcal{I}_{1/n})$ we have $\bigcup_{\pi \in S_\omega} \beta\pi[A] \neq \omega^*$.

If $\mathcal{U} \in \omega^* \setminus \bigcup_{\pi \in S_\omega} \beta\pi[A]$ then for all $\pi \in S_\omega$ there exists set $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with $\pi[U] \in \mathcal{I}_{1/n}$.

Definition A.

An ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on ω is called a **summable ultrafilter** if for every one-to-one function $f : \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $f[U] \in \mathcal{I}_{1/n}$.

Theorem 1*.

Summable ultrafilters exist in ZFC.

Results

Definition B.

An ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on ω is called a **g -summable ultrafilter** if for every one-to-one function $f : \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $f[U] \in \mathcal{I}_g$.

Results

Definition B.

An ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on ω is called a **g -summable ultrafilter** if for every one-to-one function $f : \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $f[U] \in \mathcal{I}_g$.

Corollary 2.

If $g : \omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies $\frac{1}{n} \gg g(n)$ then g -summable ultrafilters exist in ZFC.

Results

Definition B.

An ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on ω is called a **g -summable ultrafilter** if for every one-to-one function $f : \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $f[U] \in \mathcal{I}_g$.

Corollary 2.

If $g : \omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies $\frac{1}{n} \gg g(n)$ then g -summable ultrafilters exist in ZFC.

Theorem 3.

If $g(n) = \frac{\ln^p n}{n}$, $p \in \omega$, then g -summable ultrafilters exist in ZFC (i.e. $\delta(\mathcal{I}_g)$ solves Problem 235.).

More results and questions

Theorem 4.

(MA_{ctble}) If I_g is a summable ideal and $A = \delta(\mathcal{I}_g)$ then $\bigcup_{\pi \in S_\omega} \beta\pi[A] \neq \omega^*$.

More results and questions

Theorem 4.

(MA_{ctble}) If I_g is a summable ideal and $A = \delta(\mathcal{I}_g)$ then $\bigcup_{\pi \in S_\omega} \beta\pi[A] \neq \omega^*$.

Question.

Do g -summable ultrafilters exist in ZFC for every tall summable ideal \mathcal{I}_g ? What if $g(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ or $\frac{1}{\ln n}$?

More results and questions

Theorem 4.

(MA_{ctble}) If I_g is a summable ideal and $A = \delta(\mathcal{I}_g)$ then $\bigcup_{\pi \in S_\omega} \beta\pi[A] \neq \omega^*$.

Question.

Do g -summable ultrafilters exist in ZFC for every tall summable ideal \mathcal{I}_g ? What if $g(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ or $\frac{1}{\ln n}$?

Question.

Is there a g -summable ultrafilter which is not an h -summable ultrafilter if I_g and I_h are two incomparable summable ultrafilters?

Construction

Theorem 1*.

Summable ultrafilters exist in ZFC.

Construction

Theorem 1*.

Summable ultrafilters exist in ZFC.

Definition.

A family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ is called

- a **k -linked family** if $F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_k$ is infinite whenever $F_i \in \mathcal{F}$, $i \leq k$.
- a **centered system** if \mathcal{F} is k -linked for every k i.e., if any finite subfamily of \mathcal{F} has an infinite intersection.

Construction

We say that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ is a **summable family** if for every one-to-one function $f : \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ there is $A \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $f[A] \in \mathcal{I}_{1/n}$.

Construction

We say that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ is a **summable family** if for every one-to-one function $f : \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ there is $A \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $f[A] \in \mathcal{I}_{1/n}$.

Proposition 5.

For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a summable k -linked family $\mathcal{F}_k \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$.

Construction

Lemma 6.

If $\mathcal{F}_k \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ is a k -linked family then

$$\mathcal{F} = \{F \subseteq \omega : (\forall k)(\exists U^k \in \mathcal{F}_k) U^k \subseteq^* F\}$$

is a centered system.

Construction

Lemma 6.

If $\mathcal{F}_k \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ is a k -linked family then

$$\mathcal{F} = \{F \subseteq \omega : (\forall k)(\exists U^k \in \mathcal{F}_k) U^k \subseteq^* F\}$$

is a centered system.

If every \mathcal{F}_k is summable then \mathcal{F} is summable.

Construction

Lemma 6.

If $\mathcal{F}_k \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ is a k -linked family then

$$\mathcal{F} = \{F \subseteq \omega : (\forall k)(\exists U^k \in \mathcal{F}_k) U^k \subseteq^* F\}$$

is a centered system.

If every \mathcal{F}_k is summable then \mathcal{F} is summable.

More generally, if \mathcal{I} is a P -ideal and for every one-to-one function $f \in {}^\omega\mathbb{N}$ and for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $U^k \in \mathcal{F}_k$ such that $f[U^k] \in \mathcal{I}$ then there exists $U \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $f[U] \in \mathcal{I}$.

References

van Douwen, E., Problem S.4. (Problem Section), *Topology Proceedings* **3**, 538, 1978.

Hart, K.P., van Mill, J., Open problems on $\beta\omega$, *Open problems in topology*, 97–125, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.

Flašková, J., More than a 0-point, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae* **47**, no. 4, 617–621, 2006.

Gryzlov, A. A., K teorii prostranstva βN , *Obshchaya topologiya*, Mosk. Univ., Moskva, 20–33, 1986.