

Rapid ultrafilters and summable ideals

Jana Flašková

Department of Mathematics
University of West Bohemia in Pilsen

Trends in Set Theory
11. 7. 2012

Rapid ultrafilters

Definition.

A free ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on ω is called **rapid** if the enumeration functions of its sets form a dominating family in (ω^ω, \leq^*) .

Rapid ultrafilters

Definition.

A free ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on ω is called **rapid** if the enumeration functions of its sets form a dominating family in (ω^ω, \leq^*) .

Theorem (Booth?).

(CH) Rapid ultrafilters exist.

Theorem (Miller).

In Laver's model there are no rapid ultrafilters.

Summable ideals

Definition.

Given a function $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g(n) = +\infty$ then the family

$$\mathcal{I}_g = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{N} : \sum_{a \in A} g(a) < +\infty\}$$

is a proper ideal which we call summable ideal determined by function g .

A summable ideal is tall (dense) if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(n) = 0$.

Characterization of rapid ultrafilters

Theorem (Vojtáš).

The following are equivalent for an ultrafilter $\mathcal{U} \in \omega^*$:

- \mathcal{U} is rapid
- $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{I}_g \neq \emptyset$ for every tall summable ideal \mathcal{I}_g

Characterization of rapid ultrafilters

Theorem (Vojtáš).

The following are equivalent for an ultrafilter $\mathcal{U} \in \omega^*$:

- \mathcal{U} is rapid
- $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{I}_g \neq \emptyset$ for every tall summable ideal \mathcal{I}_g

One can add two more equivalent conditions:

- $(\forall f : \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \text{ one-to-one}) (\exists U \in \mathcal{U})$ such that $f[U] \in \mathcal{I}_g$ for every tall summable ideal \mathcal{I}_g

Characterization of rapid ultrafilters

Theorem (Vojtáš).

The following are equivalent for an ultrafilter $\mathcal{U} \in \omega^*$:

- \mathcal{U} is rapid
- $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{I}_g \neq \emptyset$ for every tall summable ideal \mathcal{I}_g

One can add two more equivalent conditions:

- $(\forall f : \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \text{ one-to-one}) (\exists U \in \mathcal{U})$ such that $f[U] \in \mathcal{I}_g$
for every tall summable ideal \mathcal{I}_g
- $(\forall f : \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \text{ finite-to-one}) (\exists U \in \mathcal{U})$ such that $f[U] \in \mathcal{I}_g$
for every tall summable ideal \mathcal{I}_g
(= \mathcal{U} is a **weak \mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilter** for every \mathcal{I}_g)

\mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilters

Definition.

An ultrafilter $\mathcal{U} \in \omega^*$ is called an \mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilter if for every $f : \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $f[U] \in \mathcal{I}_g$.

\mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilters

Definition.

An ultrafilter $\mathcal{U} \in \omega^*$ is called an \mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilter if for every $f : \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $f[U] \in \mathcal{I}_g$.

It is not known whether \mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilters exist in ZFC.

\mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilters

Definition.

An ultrafilter $\mathcal{U} \in \omega^*$ is called an \mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilter if for every $f : \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $f[U] \in \mathcal{I}_g$.

It is not known whether \mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilters exist in ZFC.

Theorem 1.

(MA_{ctble}) There exists $\mathcal{U} \in \omega^*$ such that \mathcal{U} is an \mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilter for every tall summable ideal \mathcal{I}_g .

Rapid ultrafilters vs. \mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilters

Rapid ultrafilters need not be \mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilters.

Rapid ultrafilters vs. \mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilters

Rapid ultrafilters need not be \mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilters.

Theorem 2.

(MA_{ctble}) There is a rapid ultrafilter which is not an \mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilter for any summable ideal \mathcal{I}_g .

\mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilters vs. rapid ultrafilters

If $\mathcal{U} \in \omega^*$ is an \mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilter for every tall summable ideal \mathcal{I}_g then \mathcal{U} is a rapid ultrafilter.

\mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilters vs. rapid ultrafilters

If $\mathcal{U} \in \omega^*$ is an \mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilter for every tall summable ideal \mathcal{I}_g then \mathcal{U} is a rapid ultrafilter.

Theorem 3.

(MA_{ctble}) There is an $\mathcal{I}_{\frac{1}{n}}$ -ultrafilter which is not a rapid ultrafilter.

\mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilters vs. rapid ultrafilters

If $\mathcal{U} \in \omega^*$ is an \mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilter for every tall summable ideal \mathcal{I}_g then \mathcal{U} is a rapid ultrafilter.

Theorem 3.

(MA_{ctble}) There is an $\mathcal{I}_{\frac{1}{n}}$ -ultrafilter which is not a rapid ultrafilter.

Theorem 4.

($\text{MA}_{\sigma\text{-centered}}$) For every tall summable ideal \mathcal{I}_g there is an \mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilter which is not rapid.

Possible extension and its limits

Is it possible that an ultrafilter is an \mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilter for “many” tall summable ideals simultaneously and still not a rapid ultrafilter?

Possible extension and its limits

Is it possible that an ultrafilter is an \mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilter for “many” tall summable ideals simultaneously and still not a rapid ultrafilter?

Proposition 5.

There is a family \mathcal{D} of tall summable ideals such that $|\mathcal{D}| = \mathfrak{d}$ and an ultrafilter $\mathcal{U} \in \omega^*$ is rapid if and only if it has a nonempty intersection with every tall summable ideal in \mathcal{D} .

Possible extension and its limits

Proposition 6.

If \mathcal{D} is a family of tall summable ideals and $|\mathcal{D}| < \mathfrak{b}$ then there exists a tall summable ideal \mathcal{I}_g such that $\mathcal{I}_g \subseteq \mathcal{I}_h$ for every $\mathcal{I}_h \in \mathcal{D}$.

Possible extension and its limits

Proposition 6.

If \mathcal{D} is a family of tall summable ideals and $|\mathcal{D}| < \mathfrak{b}$ then there exists a tall summable ideal \mathcal{I}_g such that $\mathcal{I}_g \subseteq \mathcal{I}_h$ for every $\mathcal{I}_h \in \mathcal{D}$.

Corollary 7.

(MA_σ -centered) If \mathcal{D} is a family of tall summable ideals and $|\mathcal{D}| < \mathfrak{d}$ then there exists an ultrafilter $\mathcal{U} \in \omega^*$ such that \mathcal{U} is an \mathcal{I} -ultrafilter for every $\mathcal{I} \in \mathcal{D}$, but \mathcal{U} is not a rapid ultrafilter.

\mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilters need not be rapid

Proof of Theorem 4.

Theorem 4.

(MA_σ -centered) For every tall summable ideal \mathcal{I}_g there is an \mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilter which is not rapid.

\mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilters need not be rapid

Proof of Theorem 4.

Theorem 4.

(MA_σ -centered) For every tall summable ideal \mathcal{I}_g there is an \mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilter which is not rapid.

Proposition 4a.

For every tall summable ideal \mathcal{I}_g there is a tall summable ideal \mathcal{I}_h such that $\mathcal{I}_g \not\leq_K \mathcal{I}_h$.

\mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilters need not be rapid

Proof of Theorem 4.

Theorem 4.

(MA_σ -centered) For every tall summable ideal \mathcal{I}_g there is an \mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilter which is not rapid.

Proposition 4a.

For every tall summable ideal \mathcal{I}_g there is a tall summable ideal \mathcal{I}_h such that $\mathcal{I}_g \not\leq_K \mathcal{I}_h$.

Theorem 4b.

(MA_σ -centered) For arbitrary tall summable ideals \mathcal{I}_g and \mathcal{I}_h such that $\mathcal{I}_g \not\leq_K \mathcal{I}_h$ there is an \mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilter \mathcal{U} with $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{I}_h = \emptyset$.

\mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilters need not be rapid

Proof of Theorem 4. — more details

Theorem 4b.

(MA_σ -centered) For arbitrary tall summable ideals \mathcal{I}_g and \mathcal{I}_h such that $\mathcal{I}_g \not\leq_K \mathcal{I}_h$ there is an \mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilter \mathcal{U} with $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{I}_h = \emptyset$.

\mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilters need not be rapid

Proof of Theorem 4. — more details

Theorem 4b.

(MA_{σ} -centered) For arbitrary tall summable ideals \mathcal{I}_g and \mathcal{I}_h such that $\mathcal{I}_g \not\leq_K \mathcal{I}_h$ there is an \mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilter \mathcal{U} with $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{I}_h = \emptyset$.

1. Enumerate all functions in ${}^\omega\omega$ as $\{f_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$.
2. For $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ construct filter bases \mathcal{F}_α such that for every $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$:
 - (i) \mathcal{F}_0 is the Fréchet filter
 - (ii) $\mathcal{F}_\alpha \supseteq \mathcal{F}_\beta$ whenever $\alpha \geq \beta$
 - (iii) $\mathcal{F}_\gamma = \bigcup_{\alpha < \gamma} \mathcal{F}_\alpha$, for γ limit
 - (iv) $(\forall \alpha) |\mathcal{F}_\alpha| \leq |\alpha + 1| \cdot \omega$
 - (v) $(\forall \alpha) \mathcal{F}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{I}_h = \emptyset$
 - (vi) $(\forall \alpha) (\exists F \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha+1}) f_\alpha[F] \in \mathcal{I}_g$

\mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilters need not be rapid

Proof of Theorem 4. — more details

Lemma 4c.

(MA_σ -centered) Assume \mathcal{I}_g and \mathcal{I}_h are two tall summable ideals such that $\mathcal{I}_g \not\leq_K \mathcal{I}_h$. Assume \mathcal{F} is a filter base with $|\mathcal{F}| < \mathfrak{c}$ such that $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{I}_h = \emptyset$ and a function $f \in \omega^\omega$ is given. Then there exists $G \subseteq \omega$ such that $f[G] \in \mathcal{I}_g$ and $G \cap F \notin \mathcal{I}_h$ for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

\mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilters need not be rapid

Proof of Theorem 4. — more details

Lemma 4c.

(MA_{σ} -centered) Assume \mathcal{I}_g and \mathcal{I}_h are two tall summable ideals such that $\mathcal{I}_g \not\leq_K \mathcal{I}_h$. Assume \mathcal{F} is a filter base with $|\mathcal{F}| < \mathfrak{c}$ such that $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{I}_h = \emptyset$ and a function $f \in \omega^\omega$ is given. Then there exists $G \subseteq \omega$ such that $f[G] \in \mathcal{I}_g$ and $G \cap F \notin \mathcal{I}_h$ for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

Lemma 4d.

(MA_{σ} -centered) Assume \mathcal{I}_h is a tall summable ideal and \mathcal{F} is a filter base with $|\mathcal{F}| < \mathfrak{c}$ such that $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{I}_h = \emptyset$. Then there exists a set $H \subseteq \omega$ such that $H \notin \mathcal{I}_h$ and $H \setminus F$ is finite for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

\mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilters need not be rapid

Proof of Theorem 4. — more details

Lemma 4e.

Assume $f \in \omega^\omega$, \mathcal{I}_g and \mathcal{I}_h are tall summable ideals with $\mathcal{I}_g \not\leq_K \mathcal{I}_h$. If H is an infinite subset of ω such that $H \notin \mathcal{I}_h$ and $f[H] \notin \mathcal{I}_g$ then there exists $A \subseteq f[H]$ such that $A \in \mathcal{I}_g$ and $f^{-1}[A] \cap H \notin \mathcal{I}_h$.

\mathcal{I}_g -ultrafilters need not be rapid

Proof of Theorem 4. — more details

Lemma 4e.

Assume $f \in \omega^\omega$, \mathcal{I}_g and \mathcal{I}_h are tall summable ideals with $\mathcal{I}_g \not\leq_K \mathcal{I}_h$. If H is an infinite subset of ω such that $H \notin \mathcal{I}_h$ and $f[H] \notin \mathcal{I}_g$ then there exists $A \subseteq f[H]$ such that $A \in \mathcal{I}_g$ and $f^{-1}[A] \cap H \notin \mathcal{I}_h$.

Lemma 4f.

Assume \mathcal{I}_g is a tall summable ideal determined by a decreasing function g , A is a subset of ω and $B \subseteq A$. Then

1. $A \in \mathcal{I}_g$ if and only if $A + 1 \in \mathcal{I}_g$
2. $A \in \mathcal{I}_g$ if and only if $B + 1 \cup (A \setminus B) \in \mathcal{I}_g$

References

J. Flašková, Rapid ultrafilters and summable ideals, *preprint*,
<http://home.zcu.cz/~flaskova/english/index.html>

J. Flašková, \mathcal{I} -ultrafilters and summable ideals, in: *Proceedings of the 10th Asian Logic Conference*, Kobe 2008.

A. Miller, There are no \mathcal{Q} -points in Laver's model for the Borel conjecture, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **78** (1980), 498 – 502.

P. Vojtáš, On ω^* and absolutely divergent series, *Topology Proceedings* **19** (1994), 335 – 348.