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#### Abstract

In this paper we study cycle coverings and 2 -factors of a claw-free graph and those of its closure, which has been defined by the first author (On a closure concept in claw-free graphs, J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. $B \mathbf{7 0}$ (1997) 217-224). For a claw-free graph $G$ and its closure $\operatorname{cl}(G)$, we prove (1) $V(G)$ is covered by $k$ cycles in $G$ if and only if $V(c l(G))$ is covered by $k$ cycles of $c l(G)$, and (2) $G$ has a 2 -factor with at most $k$ components if and only if $\operatorname{cl}(G)$ has a 2 -factor with at most $k$ components.


For graph theoretic notation not defined in this paper, we refer the reader to [2]. A vertex $x$ of a graph $G$ is said to be locally connected if the neighborhood $N_{G}(x)$ of $x$ in $G$ induces a connected graph. A locally connected vertex $x$ is said to be eligible if $N_{G}(x)$ induces a noncomplete graph. Let $x$ be an eligible vertex of a graph $G$. Consider the operation of joining every pair of nonadjacent vertices in $N_{G}(x)$ by an edge so that $N_{G}(x)$ induces a complete graph in the resulting graph. This operation is called local completion of $G$ at $x$. For a graph $G$, let $G_{0}=G$. For $i \geq 0$, if $G_{i}$ is defined and it has an eligible vertex $x_{i}$, then apply local completion of $G_{i}$ at $x_{i}$ to obtain a new graph $G_{i+1}$. If $G_{i}$ has no eligible vertex, let $\operatorname{cl}(G)=G_{i}$ and call it the closure of $G$. The above operation was introduced and the following theorems were proved in [3].

Theorem A ([3]). If $G$ is a claw-free graph, then
(1) a graph obtained from $G$ by local completion is also claw-free, and
(2) $\operatorname{cl}(G)$ is uniquely determined.

Theorem B ([3]). Let $G$ be a claw-free graph. Then $G$ is hamiltonian if and only if $c l(G)$ is hamiltonian.

Recently, several other properties on paths and cycles of a claw-free graph and those of its closure were studied in [1]. In particular, the following theorem was proved.

Theorem C ([1]).
(1) A claw-free graph $G$ is traceable if and only if $\operatorname{cl}(G)$ is traceable.
(2) There exist infinitely many claw-free graphs $G$ such that $\operatorname{cl}(G)$ is hamiltonian-connected while $G$ is not hamiltonian-connected.
(3) For any positive integer $k$, there exists a $k$-connected claw-free graph $G$ such that $\operatorname{cl}(G)$ is pancyclic while $G$ is not pancyclic.

Let $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{k}$ be subgraphs of $G$. Then $G$ is said to be covered by $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{k}$ if $V(G)=V\left(H_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup V\left(H_{k}\right)$.

We consider two interpretations of a hamiltonian cycle. First, a hamiltonian cycle of a graph $G$ is a cycle which covers $G$. Second, it is considered as a 2 -factor with one component. These interpretations may lead us to possible extensions of Theorem B to cycle coverings and 2 -factors. This is the motivation of this paper.

We prove the following theorems as generalizations of Theorem B.

Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a claw-free graph. Then $G$ is covered by $k$ cycles if and only if $c l(G)$ is covered by $k$ cycles.

Theorem 2. Let $G$ be a claw-free $\operatorname{graph}$. If $c l(G)$ has a 2 -factor with $k$ components, then $G$ has a 2 -factor with at most $k$ components.

Note that the conclusion of Theorem 2 says $G$ has a 2 -factor with "at most" $k$ components. Under the assumption of Theorem 2, $G$ does not always have a 2 -factor with exactly $k$ components if $k \geq 2$. Let $G$ be a graph with $k-1$ components $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{k-1}$, where $H_{1}$ is the graph shown in Figure 1 and $H_{2}, \ldots, H_{k-1}$ are cycles of arbitrary lengths. Then $G$ is claw-free and $\operatorname{cl}(G)=\operatorname{cl}\left(H_{1}\right) \cup H_{2} \cup \cdots \cup H_{k-1}$, where $\operatorname{cl}\left(H_{1}\right)$ is isomorphic to $K_{9}$. Since $K_{9}$ has a 2-factor with two components, $\operatorname{cl}(G)$ has a 2-factor with $k$ components. However, $G$ has no 2 -factor with $k$ components since $H_{1}$ does not have a 2-factor with two components.

- insert figure 1

Before proving the above theorems we introduce some notation which is used in the subsequent arguments. For a graph $G$ and $\emptyset \neq S \subset V(G)$, the subgraph induced by $S$ is denoted by $G[S]$. When we consider a path or a cycle, we always assign an orientation. Let $P=x_{0} x_{1} \cdots x_{m}$. We call $x_{0}$ and $x_{m}$ the starting vertex and the terminal vertex of $P$, respectively. The set of internal vertices of $P$ is denoted by $\operatorname{int}(P): \operatorname{int}(P)=$ $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m-1}\right\}$. The length of $P$ is the number of edges in $P$, and is denoted by $l(P)$. We define $x_{i}^{+(P)}=x_{i+1}$ and $x_{i}^{-(P)}=x_{i-1}$. Furthermore, we define $x_{i}^{++(P)}=x_{i+2}$. When it is obvious which path is considered in the context, we sometimes write $x_{i}^{+}$and $x_{i}^{-}$instead of $x_{i}^{+(P)}$ and $x_{i}^{-(P)}$, respectively. For $x_{i}, x_{j} \in V(P)$ with $i \leq j$, we denote the subpath $x_{i} x_{i+1} \cdots x_{j}$ by $x_{i} \vec{P} x_{j}$. The same path traversed in the opposite direction is denoted by $x_{j} \overleftarrow{P} x_{i}$. We use similar notations with respect to cycles with a given orientation.

We present several lemmas before proving the main theorems.

Lemma 3. Let $G$ be a claw-free graph and let $x$ be a locally connected vertex. Let $T_{1}$,
$T_{2} \subset V(G)$ with $T_{1} \cap T_{2}=\{x\}$. Suppose both $G\left[T_{1}\right]$ and $G\left[T_{2}\right]$ are hamiltonian but $G\left[T_{1} \cup T_{2}\right]$ is not hamiltonian. Choose cycles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ with $V\left(C_{1}\right) \cup V\left(C_{2}\right)=T_{1} \cup T_{2}$ and $V\left(C_{1}\right) \cap V\left(C_{2}\right)=\{x\}$ and a path $P$ in $G\left[N_{G}(x)\right]$ with starting vertex in $\left\{x^{+\left(C_{1}\right)}, x^{-\left(C_{1}\right)}\right\}$ and terminal vertex in $\left\{x^{+\left(C_{2}\right)}, x^{-\left(C_{2}\right)}\right\}$ so that $P$ is as short as possible. Then $2 \leq l(P) \leq 3$ and $\operatorname{int}(P) \cap\left(T_{1} \cup T_{2}\right)=\emptyset$.

Proof. First, note that each hamiltonian cycle $D_{i}$ in $G\left[T_{i}\right](i=1,2)$ satisfies $V\left(D_{1}\right) \cup$ $V\left(D_{2}\right)=T_{1} \cup T_{2}$ and $V\left(D_{1}\right) \cap V\left(D_{2}\right)=\{x\}$. Furthermore, since $x$ is a locally connected vertex of $G$, there exists a path in $G\left[N_{G}(x)\right]$ with starting vertex in $\left\{x^{+\left(D_{1}\right)}, x^{-\left(D_{1}\right)}\right\}$ and terminal vertex in $\left\{x^{+\left(D_{2}\right)}, x^{-\left(D_{2}\right)}\right\}$. Therefore, we can make a choice for $\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, P\right)$. Let $u_{1}=x^{+\left(C_{1}\right)}, v_{1}=x^{-\left(C_{1}\right)}, u_{2}=x^{+\left(C_{2}\right)}$ and $v_{2}=x^{-\left(C_{2}\right)}$. We may assume the starting and terminal vertices of $P$ are $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, respectively.

If $u_{1} u_{2} \in E(G)$, then $C^{\prime}=x v_{1} \overleftarrow{C_{1}} u_{1} u_{2} \vec{C}_{2} v_{2} x$ is a cycle in $G$ with $V\left(C^{\prime}\right)=V\left(C_{1}\right) \cup$ $V\left(C_{2}\right)=T_{1} \cup T_{2}$. This contradicts the assumption. Hence we have $u_{1} u_{2} \notin E(G)$. Similarly we have $u_{1} v_{2}, v_{1} u_{2}, v_{1} v_{2} \notin E(G)$. Since $\left\{u_{1}, v_{1}, u_{2}\right\} \subset N_{G}(x)$ and $G$ is claw-free, we have $u_{1} v_{1} \in E(G)$. Similarly $u_{2} v_{2} \in E(G)$.

Let $w=u_{1}^{+(P)}$. We claim $w \notin V\left(C_{1}\right) \cup V\left(C_{2}\right)$. Assume $w \in V\left(C_{1}\right) \cup V\left(C_{2}\right)$. Since $w \in V(P) \subset N_{G}(x), w \neq x$. Thus, $w \in u_{1} \vec{C}_{1} v_{1} \cup u_{2} \vec{C}_{2} v_{2}$.

First, suppose $w \in u_{1} \vec{C}_{1} v_{1}$. Then by the choice of $P, w \in u_{1}^{+} \vec{C}_{1} v_{1}^{-}$. Since $\left\{x, w^{+}, w^{-}\right\} \subset$ $N_{G}(w)$ and $G$ is claw-free, we have $\left\{x w^{+}, x w^{-}, w^{+} w^{-}\right\} \cap E(G) \neq \emptyset$. If $w^{+} w^{-} \in E(G)$, let $C_{1}^{\prime}=x w u_{1} \vec{C}_{1} w^{-} w^{+} \vec{C}_{1} v_{1} x, C_{2}^{\prime}=C_{2}$ and $P^{\prime}=w \vec{P} u_{2}$. If $w^{-} x \in E(G)$, then let $C_{1}^{\prime}=x w \vec{C}_{1} v_{1} u_{1} \vec{C}_{1} w^{-} x, C_{2}^{\prime}=C_{2}$ and $P^{\prime}=w \vec{P} u_{2}$. If $w^{+} x \in E(G)$, then let $C_{1}^{\prime}=$ $x w \overleftarrow{C_{1}} u_{1} v_{1} \overleftarrow{C_{1}} w^{+} x, C_{2}^{\prime}=C_{2}$ and $P^{\prime}=w \vec{P} u_{2}$. Then in each case, since $V\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right)=V\left(C_{1}\right)$, we have $V\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cup V\left(C_{2}^{\prime}\right)=V\left(C_{1}\right) \cup V\left(C_{2}\right)=T_{1} \cup T_{2}$ and $V\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cap V\left(C_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\{x\}$. Furthermore, $w=x^{+\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right)}$ and $l\left(P^{\prime}\right)<l(P)$. This contradicts the choice of $\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, P\right)$.

Now, suppose $w \in u_{2} \vec{C}_{2} v_{2}$. Since $\left\{u_{2}, v_{2}\right\} \cap N_{G}\left(u_{1}\right)=\emptyset$, we have $w \in u_{2}^{+} \vec{C}_{2} v_{2}^{-}$. Since $\left\{x, w^{-}, w^{+}\right\} \subset N_{G}(w)$ and $G$ is claw-free, $\left\{x w^{-}, x w^{+}, w^{-} w^{+}\right\} \cap E(G) \neq \emptyset$. If $x w^{-} \in E(G)$, let $C=x v_{1} \overleftarrow{C_{1}} u_{1} w \overrightarrow{C_{2}} v_{2} u_{2} \vec{C}_{2} w^{-} x$. If $x w^{+} \in E(G)$, let $C=x w^{+} \overrightarrow{C_{2}} v_{2} u_{2} \vec{C}_{2} w u_{1} \vec{C}_{1} v_{1} x$. Then in either case $C$ is a cycle in $G$ with $V(C)=V\left(C_{1}\right) \cup V\left(C_{2}\right)=T_{1} \cup T_{2}$. This contradicts the assumption. If $w^{-} w^{+} \in E(G)$, then let $C_{1}^{\prime}=x w u_{1} \vec{C}_{1} v_{1} x, C_{2}^{\prime}=x u_{2} \vec{C}_{2} w^{-} w^{+} \vec{C}_{2} v_{2} x$ and $P^{\prime}=w \vec{P} u_{2}$. Then $V\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cup V\left(C_{2}^{\prime}\right)=V\left(C_{1}\right) \cup V\left(C_{2}\right)=T_{1} \cup T_{2}, V\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cap V\left(C_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\{x\}$,
$w=x^{+\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right)}$ and $l\left(P^{\prime}\right)<l(P)$. This contradicts the choice of $\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, P\right)$. Therefore, $w \notin V\left(C_{1}\right) \cup V\left(C_{2}\right)$.

Let $w^{\prime}=u_{2}^{-(P)}$. (Possibly $\left.w^{\prime}=w.\right)$ Then by the same arguments we have $w^{\prime} \notin$ $V\left(C_{1}\right) \cup V\left(C_{2}\right)$.

By the choice of $\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, P\right), P$ is an induced path. Hence if $l(P) \geq 4$, then $\left\{u_{1}, u_{1}^{++(P)}, u_{2}\right\}$ is an independent set. Since $V(P) \subset N_{G}(x)$ and $G$ is claw-free, this is a contradiction. Thus, $l(P) \leq 3$. Since $u_{1} u_{2} \notin E(G), l(P) \geq 2$. These imply $\operatorname{int}(P) \cap\left(T_{1} \cup T_{2}\right)=\emptyset$.

By similar arguments, we have the following lemma.
Lemma 4. Let $G$ be a claw-free graph and let $x$ be a locally connected vertex of $G$. Let $T \subset V(G)$ with $x \in T$, and let $u \in N_{G}(x)-T$. Suppose $G[T]$ is hamiltonian but $G[T \cup\{u\}]$ is not hamiltonian. Choose a hamiltonian cycle $C$ in $G[T]$ and a path $P$ in $G\left[N_{G}(x)\right]$ with starting vertex in $\left\{x^{+(C)}, x^{-(C)}\right\}$ and terminal vertex $u$ so that $P$ is as short as possible. Then $2 \leq l(P) \leq 3$ and $\operatorname{int}(P) \cap(T \cup\{u\})=\emptyset$.

We prove one more lemma.
Lemma 5. Let $G$ be a claw-free graph and let $x$ be an eligible vertex of $G$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by local completion at $x$. Let $C^{\prime}$ be a cycle in $G^{\prime}$ with $x \in V\left(C^{\prime}\right)$. Then either (1) or (2) follows.
(1) There exists a cycle $C$ in $G$ with $V(C)=V\left(C^{\prime}\right)$.
(2) There exist $T_{1}, T_{2} \subset V(G)$ such that
(2.1) $T_{1} \cup T_{2}=V\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ and $T_{1} \cap T_{2}=\{x\}$, and
(2.2) $G\left[T_{i}\right]$ is hamiltonian or isomorphic to $K_{2}(i=1,2)$.

Proof. Let $B=E\left(G^{\prime}\right)-E(G)$. Note that for each $u v \in B,\{u, v\} \subset N_{G}(x)$. Choose a cycle $C$ in $G^{\prime}$ with $V(C)=V\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ so that $|E(C) \cap B|$ is as small as possible. If $E(C) \cap B=\emptyset$, then $C$ is a cycle satisfying (1). Therefore, we may assume $E(C) \cap B \neq \emptyset$.

We claim $|E(C) \cap B|=1$. Assume, to the contrary, $|E(C) \cap B| \geq 2$, say $e_{1}, e_{2} \in E(C) \cap B$, $e_{1} \neq e_{2}$. Let $e_{i}=x_{i} y_{i}(i=1,2)$. We may assume $x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}, y_{2}$ and $x$ appear in this order along $C$. (Possibly, $y_{1}=x_{2}$.) Then $x_{1}, x_{2}$ and $x^{-}$are distinct vertices in $N_{G}(x)$. Since $G$ is claw-free, $\left\{x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1} x^{-}, x_{2} x^{-}\right\} \cap E(G) \neq \emptyset$. If $x_{1} x_{2} \in E(G)$, let $C_{0}=y_{2} \vec{C} x_{1} x_{2} \overleftarrow{C} y_{1} y_{2}$

Then $V\left(C_{0}\right)=V(C)$ and $E\left(C_{0}\right)=\left(E(C)-\left\{x_{1} y_{1}, x_{2} y_{2}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{x_{1} x_{2}, y_{1} y_{2}\right\}$. This implies $\left|E\left(C_{0}\right) \cap B\right|<|E(C) \cap B|$, which contradicts the minimality of $|E(C) \cap B|$. If $x_{1} x^{-} \in E(G)$, let $C_{0}=x \vec{C} x_{1} x^{-} \overleftarrow{C} y_{1} x$. Then $V\left(C_{0}\right)=V(C)$ and $E\left(C_{0}\right)=\left(E(C)-\left\{x_{1} y_{1}, x x^{-}\right\}\right) \cup$ $\left\{x y_{1}, x_{1} x^{-}\right\}$. Since $x y_{1} \in E(G)$, we have $\left|E\left(C_{0}\right) \cap B\right|<|E(C) \cap B|$, again a contradiction. We have a similar contradiction if $x^{-} x_{2} \in E(G)$. Therefore, the claim is proved.

Let $E(C) \cap B=\left\{x_{1} y_{1}\right\}$. We may assume $x, x_{1}$ and $y_{1}$ appear in this order along $C$. Let $T_{1}=x \vec{C} x_{1}$ and $T_{2}=y_{1} \vec{C} x$. Then $T_{1} \cup T_{2}=V(C)$ and $T_{1} \cap T_{2}=\{x\}$. Since $x_{1} y_{1} \in B$, $x x_{1}, x y_{1} \in E(G)$. If $x_{1} \neq x^{+}$, then $x \vec{C} x_{1} x$ is a hamiltonian cycle in $G\left[T_{1}\right]$. If $x_{1}=x^{+}$, then $G\left[T_{1}\right] \simeq K_{2}$. Similarly, $G\left[T_{2}\right]$ is either hamiltonian or isomorphic to $K_{2}$.

Let $G^{\prime}$ be a graph obtained from a claw-free graph by local completion at a vertex. Using Lemmas $3,4,5$ we prove that for each cycle in $G^{\prime}$ there exists a cycle in $G$ which contains it. We can also impose some restriction on its length.

Theorem 6. Let $G$ be a claw-free graph and let $x$ be a locally connected vertex of $G$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by local completion at $x$. Then for each cycle $C^{\prime}$ in $G^{\prime}$ there exists a cycle $C$ in $G$ with $V\left(C^{\prime}\right) \subset V(C)$ and $l\left(C^{\prime}\right) \leq l(C) \leq l\left(C^{\prime}\right)+3$.

Proof. If $E\left(C^{\prime}\right) \cap\left(E\left(G^{\prime}\right)-E(G)\right)=\emptyset$, then $C^{\prime}$ is a required cycle. Hence we may assume $E\left(C^{\prime}\right) \cap\left(E\left(G^{\prime}\right)-E(G)\right) \neq \emptyset$.

If $x \in V\left(C^{\prime}\right)$, let $C_{1}^{\prime}=C^{\prime}$. Suppose $x \notin V\left(C^{\prime}\right)$. Let $e=u u^{+\left(C^{\prime}\right)} \in E\left(C^{\prime}\right) \cap\left(E\left(G^{\prime}\right)-\right.$ $E(G))$. Then $\left\{u, u^{+\left(C^{\prime}\right)}\right\} \subset N_{G}(x)$. Let $C_{1}^{\prime}=u^{+\left(C^{\prime}\right)} \overrightarrow{C^{\prime}} u x u^{+\left(C^{\prime}\right)}$. In either case, we have a cycle $C_{1}^{\prime}$ with $V\left(C^{\prime}\right) \cup\{x\} \subset V\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $l\left(C^{\prime}\right) \leq l\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq l\left(C^{\prime}\right)+1$.

If there exists a cycle $C$ in $G$ with $V\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right)=V(C)$, then $C$ is a required cycle. Therefore, we may assume $G$ has no such cycle. Then by Lemma 5 , there exist $T_{1}, T_{2} \subset V(G)$ with $T_{1} \cap T_{2}=\{x\}$ and $T_{1} \cup T_{2}=V\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $G\left[T_{i}\right]$ is hamiltonian or $G\left[T_{i}\right] \simeq K_{2}$.

Suppose both $G\left[T_{1}\right]$ and $G\left[T_{2}\right]$ are hamiltonian. Then by Lemma 3 there exist cycles $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ in $G$ and a path $P$ in $G\left[N_{G}(x)\right]$ such that
(1) $V\left(C_{1}\right) \cup V\left(C_{2}\right)=T_{1} \cup T_{2}=V\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right), V\left(C_{1}\right) \cap V\left(C_{2}\right)=\{x\}$, and
(2) $P$ joins $\left\{x^{+\left(C_{1}\right)}, x^{-\left(C_{1}\right)}\right\}$ and $\left\{x^{+\left(C_{2}\right)}, x^{-\left(C_{2}\right)}\right\}, 2 \leq l(P) \leq 3$ and $\operatorname{int}(P) \cap\left(T_{1} \cup T_{2}\right)=\emptyset$. Let $u=x^{+\left(C_{1}\right)}$ and $v=x^{+\left(C_{2}\right)}$. We may assume $P$ joins $u$ and $v$. Let $C=x \overleftarrow{C}_{1} u \overrightarrow{P v} v \vec{C}_{2} x$. Then $C$ is a cycle in $G, V\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right) \subset V(C)$ and $l\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq l(C) \leq l\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right)+2$. Therefore,
$V\left(C^{\prime}\right) \subset V\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right) \subset V(C)$ and $l\left(C^{\prime}\right) \leq l\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq l(C) \leq l\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right)+2 \leq l\left(C^{\prime}\right)+3$.
Using Lemma 4 instead of Lemma 3, we can, by similar arguments, deal with the case in which $G\left[T_{1}\right]$ or $G\left[T_{2}\right]$ is isomorphic to $K_{2}$.

Now Theorem 1 is a consequence of the following corollary of Theorem 6.
Corollary 7. Let $G$ be a claw-free graph and let $x$ be an eligible verex of $G$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by local completion at $x$. Then $G$ is covered by $k$ cycles if and only if $G^{\prime}$ is covered by $k$ cycles.

Proof. Since the "only if" part is trivial, we have only to prove the "if" part of the corollary. Suppose $G^{\prime}$ is covered by $k$ cycles, say $V\left(G^{\prime}\right)=V\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cup \cdots \cup V\left(C_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ for cycles $C_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, C_{k}^{\prime}$ in $G^{\prime}$. By Theorem 6 for each $C_{i}^{\prime}$ there exists a cycle $C_{i}$ in $G$ with $V\left(C_{i}^{\prime}\right) \subset V\left(C_{i}\right)$ $(1 \leq i \leq k)$. Then $V(G)=V\left(C_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup V\left(C_{k}\right)$.

Now we prove Theorem 2. Actually, we prove a stronger statement.
Theorem 8. Let $G$ be a claw-free graph and let $x$ be an eligible vertex of $G$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by local completion at $x$. Then for each set of $k$ disjoint cycles $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{k}\right\}$ in $G^{\prime}$ there exists a set of at most $k$ disjoint cycles $\left\{C_{1}, \ldots, C_{l}\right\}(l \leq k)$ in $G$ with $\cup_{i=1}^{k} V\left(D_{i}\right) \subset \cup_{i=1}^{l} V\left(C_{i}\right)$.

Proof. Let $S_{0}=\cup_{i=1}^{k} V\left(D_{i}\right)$. Assume, to the contrary, that $G[S]$ has no 2-factor with at most $k$ components for any $S \subset V(G)$ with $S_{0} \subset S$. Let $B=E\left(G^{\prime}\right)-E(G)$. Note $\{a, b\} \subset N_{G}(x)$ for each $a b \in B$. Let

$$
\mathfrak{F}=\left\{(S, F): S_{0} \subset S \subset V(G) \text { and } F \text { is a 2-factor of } G^{\prime}[S]\right\} .
$$

Since $\left(S_{0}, \cup_{i=1}^{k} E\left(D_{i}\right)\right) \in \mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{F} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathfrak{F}_{0}$ be the set of pairs $(S, F) \in \mathfrak{F}$ chosen so that
(a) the number of components of $F$ is as small as possible, and
(b) $|F \cap B|$ is as small as possible, subject to (a).

Let $(S, F) \in \mathfrak{F}_{0}$. Suppose $F$ consists of $l$ components (cycles) $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{l}: F=E\left(C_{1}\right) \cup$ $\cdots \cup E\left(C_{l}\right)$ (disjoint). Since $\left(S_{0}, \cup_{i=1}^{k} E\left(D_{i}\right)\right) \in \mathfrak{F}, l \leq k$. By the assumption $F \cap B \neq \emptyset$.

If $x \notin S$, choose $i$ with $E\left(C_{i}\right) \cap B \neq \emptyset$, say $e=u v \in E\left(C_{i}\right) \cap B$ and $v=u^{+\left(C_{i}\right)}$. Let $C_{i}^{\prime}=x v \vec{C}_{i} u x$ and $F^{\prime}=\left(F-E\left(C_{i}\right)\right) \cup E\left(C_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. Then $F^{\prime}$ is a 2-factor of $G^{\prime}[S \cup\{x\}]$ with $l$
components and $\left|F^{\prime} \cap B\right|=|F \cap B|-1$. This contradicts the choice of ( $S, F$ ) given in (b). Therefore, we have $x \in S$. We may assume $x \in V\left(C_{1}\right)$.

We claim $B \cap\left(\cup_{i=2}^{l} E\left(C_{i}\right)\right)=\emptyset$. Assume $B \cap\left(\cup_{i=2}^{l} E\left(C_{i}\right)\right) \neq \emptyset$, say $f=u^{\prime} v^{\prime} \in B \cap E\left(C_{j}\right)$ $(j \geq 2)$. Then $\left\{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}, x^{+\left(C_{1}\right)}\right\} \subset N_{G}(x)$ and hence $u^{\prime} x^{+\left(C_{1}\right)} \in E\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. We may assume $j=2$ and $v^{\prime}=u^{\prime+\left(C_{2}\right)}$. Let $C^{\prime}=x v^{\prime} \vec{C}_{2} u^{\prime} x^{+\left(C_{1}\right)} \vec{C}_{1} x$ and $F^{\prime}=\left(F-\left(E\left(C_{1}\right) \cup E\left(C_{2}\right)\right)\right) \cup E\left(C^{\prime}\right)$. Then $F^{\prime}$ is a 2-factor of $G^{\prime}[S]$ with $l-1$ components. This contradicts the choice of $(S, F)$.

Since $F \cap B \neq \emptyset, B \cap E\left(C_{1}\right) \neq \emptyset$. If there exists a cycle $C_{1}^{\prime}$ in $G$ with $V\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right)=V\left(C_{1}\right)$, then $\left(F-E\left(C_{1}\right)\right) \cup E\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is a 2 -factor of $G[S]$ with $l$ components. This contradicts the assumption. Since $x \in V\left(C_{1}\right)$, by Lemma 5 , there exist $T_{0}, T_{1} \subset V(G)$ such that $T_{0} \cup T_{1}=V\left(C_{1}\right), T_{0} \cap T_{1}=\{x\}$, and $G\left[T_{i}\right]$ is hamiltonian or isomorphic to $K_{2}(i=0,1)$.

First, consider the case in which both $G\left[T_{0}\right]$ and $G\left[T_{1}\right]$ are hamiltonian. Let $C_{0}^{\prime}$ and $C_{1}^{\prime}$ be cycles in $G\left[T_{0} \cup T_{1}\right]$ with $V\left(C_{0}^{\prime}\right) \cup V\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right)=T_{0} \cup T_{1}=V\left(C_{1}\right)$ and $V\left(C_{0}^{\prime}\right) \cap V\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\{x\}$. Let $u_{i}=x^{+\left(C_{i}^{\prime}\right)}$ and $v_{i}=x^{-\left(C_{i}^{\prime}\right)}(i=0,1)$. Since $x$ is a locally connected vertex of $G$, $G\left[N_{G}(x)\right]$ has a path $P$ with starting vertex in $\left\{u_{0}, v_{0}\right\}$ and terminal vertex in $\left\{u_{1}, v_{1}\right\}$. Since $G[S]$ has no 2 -factors with $l$ components, $u_{0} u_{1}, u_{0} v_{1}, v_{0} u_{1}, v_{0} v_{1} \in B$. By the choice of ( $S, F$ ) given in (b), $\left|E\left(C_{1}\right) \cap B\right|=1$.

Now choose $(S, F) \in \mathfrak{F}_{0}, C_{0}^{\prime}, C_{1}^{\prime}$ and $P$ so that
(c) $P$ is as short as possible.

Then by Lemma $3,2 \leq l(P) \leq 3$ and $\operatorname{int}(P) \cap V\left(C_{1}\right)=\emptyset$. We may assume that the starting vertex and the terminal vertex of $P$ are $v_{0}$ and $u_{1}$, respectively.

Let $a=v_{0}^{+(P)}$. Then $a \notin V\left(C_{1}\right)$. Assume $a \notin S$. Since $V(P) \subset N_{G}(x), a x \in E(G)$ and hence $a u_{1} \in E\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Let $C^{\prime}=x u_{0} \overrightarrow{C_{0}^{\prime}} v_{0} a u_{1} \overrightarrow{C_{1}^{\prime}} v_{1} x$ and $F^{\prime}=\left(F-E\left(C_{1}\right)\right) \cup E\left(C^{\prime}\right)$. Then $F^{\prime}$ is a 2-factor of $G^{\prime}[S \cup\{a\}]$ with $l$ components and $F^{\prime} \cap B \subset\left\{a u_{1}\right\}$. Since $\left|B \cap E\left(C_{1}\right)\right|=1$, $\left|F^{\prime} \cap B\right|=|F \cap B|=1$. Furthermore, $C_{0}^{\prime \prime}=x u_{0} \vec{C}_{0}^{\prime} v_{0} a x$ and $C_{1}^{\prime \prime}=C_{1}^{\prime}$ are two cycles in $G$ with $V\left(C_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) \cup V\left(C_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)=V\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ and $V\left(C_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap V\left(C_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\{x\}$. Since $a \vec{P} u_{1}$ is shorter than $P$, this contradicts the choice of $(S, F)$ given in (c). Therefore, we have $a \in S$. We may assume $a \in V\left(C_{2}\right)$. Let $a^{\prime}=a^{+\left(C_{2}\right)}$ and $a^{\prime \prime}=a^{-\left(C_{2}\right)}$.

If $a^{\prime} x \in E(G)$, then $\left\{a^{\prime}, u_{1}\right\} \subset N_{G}(x)$ and hence $a^{\prime} u_{1} \in E\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Let

$$
C^{\prime}=x u_{0} \overrightarrow{C_{0}^{\prime \prime}} v_{0} a \overleftarrow{C_{2}} a^{\prime} u_{1}{\overrightarrow{C_{1}^{\prime \prime}} v_{1} x}_{x}
$$

and $F^{\prime}=\left(F-\left(E\left(C_{1}\right) \cup E\left(C_{2}\right)\right)\right) \cup E\left(C^{\prime}\right)$. Then $F^{\prime}$ is a 2-factor of $G^{\prime}[S]$ with $l-1$ components. This contradicts the choice of $(S, F)$. Hence we have $a^{\prime} x \notin E(G)$. By the same argument we have $a^{\prime \prime} x \notin E(G)$. Since $a$ and $\left\{x, a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ do not form a claw in $G$, $a^{\prime} a^{\prime \prime} \in E(G)$. If $l\left(C_{2}\right) \geq 4$, let $C^{\prime}=x u_{0} \vec{C}_{0}^{\prime} v_{0} a u_{1} \overrightarrow{C_{1}^{\prime \prime}} v_{1} x\left(\right.$ note $\left.a u_{1} \in E\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right), C^{\prime \prime}=a^{\prime} \overrightarrow{C_{2}} a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime}$ and $F^{\prime}=\left(F-\left(E\left(C_{1}\right) \cup E\left(C_{2}\right)\right)\right) \cup E\left(C^{\prime}\right) \cup E\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Then $F^{\prime}$ is a 2-factor of $G^{\prime}[S]$ with $l$ components and $F^{\prime} \cap B \subset\left\{a u_{1}\right\}$. Since $\left|B \cap E\left(C_{1}\right)\right|=1,\left|F^{\prime} \cap B\right|=|F \cap B|$. Furthermore, $C_{0}^{\prime \prime}=x u_{0} \vec{C}_{0}^{\prime} v_{0} a x$ and $C_{1}^{\prime \prime}=C_{1}^{\prime}$ are two cycles in $G$ with $V\left(C_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) \cup V\left(C_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)=V\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ and $V\left(C_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap V\left(C_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\{x\}$. Since $a \overrightarrow{P u_{1}}$ is shorter than $P$, this contradicts the choice of $(S, F)$ given in (c). Therefore, we have $l\left(C_{2}\right)=3$, which implies $C_{2}=a a^{\prime} a^{\prime \prime} a$.

If $a^{\prime} \in N_{G}\left(v_{0}\right)$, let $C^{\prime}=x u_{0} \vec{C}_{0}^{\prime} v_{0} a^{\prime} a^{\prime \prime} a u_{1} \overrightarrow{C_{1}^{\prime}} v_{1} x$ and $F^{\prime}=\left(F-\left(E\left(C_{1}\right) \cup E\left(C_{2}\right)\right)\right) \cup E\left(C^{\prime}\right)$. Then $F^{\prime}$ is a 2-factor of $G^{\prime}[S]$ with $l-1$ components. This contradicts the choice of $(S, F)$. If $a^{\prime} \in N_{G}\left(u_{1}\right)$, let $C^{\prime}=x u_{0} \overrightarrow{C_{0}^{\prime}} v_{0} a a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime} u_{1}{\overrightarrow{C_{1}^{\prime}}}^{2} x$ and $F^{\prime}=F-\left(\left(E\left(C_{1}\right) \cup E\left(C_{2}\right)\right)\right) \cup E\left(C^{\prime}\right)$. Then $F^{\prime}$ is a 2 -factor of $G^{\prime}[S]$ with $l-1$ components, which contradicts the assumption. Therefore, $a^{\prime} \notin N_{G}\left(v_{0}\right) \cup N_{G}\left(u_{1}\right)$. Similarly, $a^{\prime \prime} \notin N_{G}\left(v_{0}\right) \cup N_{G}\left(u_{1}\right)$.

Let $b=u_{1}^{-(P)}$. Let $b \in V\left(C_{i}\right), 2 \leq i \leq l, b^{\prime}=b^{+\left(C_{i}\right)}$ and $b^{\prime \prime}=b^{-\left(C_{i}\right)}$. By symmetry, we have $\left\{b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right\} \cap\left(N_{G}(x) \cup N_{G}\left(u_{1}\right) \cup N_{G}\left(v_{0}\right)\right)=\emptyset$ and $l\left(C_{i}\right)=3$.

Suppose $l(P)=2$. Then $b=a$ and hence $C_{i}=C_{2}$. Since $a^{\prime} \notin N_{G}\left(v_{0}\right) \cup N_{G}\left(u_{1}\right)$ and $v_{0} u_{1} \notin E(G), a$ and $\left\{a^{\prime}, v_{0}, u_{1}\right\}$ form a claw in $G$, a contradiction. Therefore, we have $l(P)=3$. Since $u_{1} a^{\prime}, u_{1} a^{\prime \prime} \notin E(G), C_{i} \neq C_{2}$. We may assume $b \in V\left(C_{3}\right)$.

By the choice of $P$ given in (c), $b v_{0}, a u_{1} \notin E(G)$. Since $v_{0} a^{\prime} \notin E(G)$ and $a$ and $\left\{a^{\prime}, b, v_{0}\right\}$ do not form a claw, $a^{\prime} b \in E(G)$. Similarly, we have $a^{\prime \prime} b, a b^{\prime}, a b^{\prime \prime} \in E(G)$. Now let $C^{\prime}=a a^{\prime \prime} a^{\prime} b b^{\prime} b^{\prime \prime} a$ and $F^{\prime}=\left(F-\left(E\left(C_{2}\right) \cup E\left(C_{3}\right)\right) \cup E\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right.$. Then $F^{\prime}$ is a 2 -factor of $G^{\prime}[S]$ with $l-1$ components. This contradicts the choice of $(S, F)$ given in (a), and the theorem follows in this case.

By replacing Lemma 3 with Lemma 4, we can follow the same arguments to obtain a contradiction if $G\left[T_{1}\right]$ or $G\left[T_{2}\right]$ is isomorphic to $K_{2}$. Therefore, the theorem is proved.

## Concluding Remarks.

Let $S$ be a set of vertices in a claw-free graph $G$. Then by Theorem 6 the minimum number of cycles covering $S$ in $G$ is the same as the minimum number of cycles covering
$S$ in $\operatorname{cl}(G)$. Furthermore, by Theorem 8, the minimum number of disjoint cycles covering $S$ in $G$ is the same as the minimum number of disjoint cycles covering $S$ in $\operatorname{cl}(G)$, (if there exist such cycles). Therefore, these invariants (and hence the minimum number of cycles covering $V(G))$ are stable in the sense of [1]. Furthermore, the existence of a 2-factor is a stable property.
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