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#### Abstract

The generalized bull is the graph $B_{i, j}$ obtained by attaching endvertices of two disjoint paths of lengths $i, j$ to two vertices of a triangle. We prove that every 3 -connected $\left\{K_{1,3}, X\right\}$-free graph, where $X \in\left\{B_{1,6}, B_{2,5}, B_{3,4}\right\}$, is Hamilton-connected. The results are sharp and complete the characterization of forbidden induced bulls implying Hamilton-connectedness of a 3 -connected \{claw,bull\}-free graph.
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## 1 Definitions and notations

In this paper, by a graph we always mean a simple finite undirected graph; whenever we admit multiple edges, we always speak about a multigraph. We generally follow the most common graph-theoretical notation and terminology and for notations and concepts not defined here we refer to [4]. Specifically, a multiple edge of multiplicity at least 2 (exactly 2 , exactly 3 ) is referred to as a multiedge (double edge, triple edge), respectively. We use $d_{G}(x)$ to denote the degree of a vertex $x$ in $G$, and for $i \geq 1$ we set $V_{i}(G)=\left\{x \in V(G) \mid d_{G}(x)=i\right\}$. If $x \in V_{2}(G)$ with $N_{G}(x)=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}$, then the operation of replacing the path $y_{1} x y_{2}$ by the edge $y_{1} y_{2}$ is called suppressing the vertex $x$. The inverse operation is called subdividing the edge $y_{1} y_{2}$ with the vertex $x$. We write $F \subset H$ if $F$ is a sub(multi)graph of $H, G_{1} \simeq G_{2}$ if the (multi)graphs $G_{1}, G_{2}$ are isomorphic, and $\langle M\rangle_{G}$ to denote the induced sub(multi)graph on a set $M \subset V(G)$. We say that a vertex $x \in V(G)$ is simplicial if $\left\langle N_{G}(x)\right\rangle_{G}$ is a complete graph, and we use $V_{S I}(G)$ to denote the set of all simplicial vertices of $G$. The circumference of $G$, denoted $c(G)$, is the length of a longest cycle in $G$. The line graph of a multigraph $H$ is the graph $G=L(H)$ with $V(G)=E(H)$, in which two vertices are adjacent if and only if the corresponding edges of $H$ have at least one vertex in common.

By a closed trail in $G$ we mean an eulerian subgraph of $G$, and a connected subgraph with exactly two vertices of odd degree is called a trail in $G$. Its vertices of odd degree are its endvertices, and (any) its edge incident to an endvertex is a terminal edge (note that these definitions are equivalent with those in [4]). For $x, y \in V(G)$, a path (trail) with endvertices

[^0]$x, y$ is referred to as an $(x, y)$-path ( $(x, y)$-trail $)$, a trail with terminal edges $e, f \in E(G)$ is called an $(e, f)$-trail, and $\operatorname{Int}(T)$ denotes the set of interior vertices of a trail $T$. A set of vertices $M \subset V(G)$ dominates an edge $e$, if $e$ has at least one vertex in $M$, and a sub(multi)graph $F \subset G$ dominates $e$ if $V(F)$ dominates $e$. A closed trail $T$ is a dominating closed trail (abbreviated DCT) if $T$ dominates all edges of $G$, and an $(e, f)$-trail is an internally dominating $(e, f)$-trail (abbreviated $(e, f)$-IDT) if $\operatorname{Int}(T)$ dominates all edges of $G$. A graph is Hamiltonconnected if, for any $u, v \in V(G), G$ has a hamiltonian $(u, v)$-path, i.e., an $(u, v)$-path $P$ with $V(P)=V(G)$.

Finally, if $\mathcal{F}$ is a family of graphs, we say that $G$ is $\mathcal{F}$-free if $G$ does not contain an induced subgraph isomorphic to a member of $\mathcal{F}$, and the graphs in $\mathcal{F}$ are referred to in this context as forbidden (induced) subgraphs. If $\mathcal{F}=\{F\}$, we simply say that $G$ is $F$-free. Here, the claw is the graph $K_{1,3}, P_{i}$ denotes the path on $i$ vertices, and $\Gamma_{i}$ denotes the graph obtained by joining two triangles with a path of length $i$ (see Fig. 2(a)). Several further graphs that will be used as forbidden subgraphs are shown in Fig. 1 (specifically, the vertex of degree 2 in the triangle of the bull $B_{i, j}$ will be called its mouth and denoted $\left.\mu\left(B_{i, j}\right)\right)$. Whenever we will list vertices of an $S_{i, j, k}$ in a graph, we will always write the list such that $i \leq j \leq k$, and we will use the notation $S_{i, j, k}\left(v ; a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{i} ; b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{j} ; c_{1} c_{2} \ldots c_{k}\right)$ (in the labeling of vertices as in Fig. $1(d)$ ). Similarly, when listing vertices of an induced claw $K_{1,3}$, we will always list its center as the first vertex of the list, and when listing vertices of an induced subgraph $F \simeq B_{i, j}$, we will always list first $\mu(F)$, and then vertices of the two paths, starting (if possible) with the shorter one.


Figure 1: The graphs $Z_{i}, B_{i, j}, N_{i, j, k}$ and $S_{i, j, k}$

We also recall two well-known graphs that will occur as exceptions in some of the results, namely, the Petersen graph $\Pi$ and the Wagner graph $W$ (see Fig. 2(b), (c)). It is a well-known fact that the Wagner graph can be obtained from the Petersen graph by removing an arbitrary edge and suppressing the two created vertices of degree 2. We will often refer to these graphs using the labeling of their vertices as indicated in Fig. 2.


Figure 2: The graph $\Gamma_{i}$, the Petersen graph $\Pi$ and the Wagner graph $W$

## 2 Introduction

There are many results on forbidden induced subgraphs implying various Hamilton-type graph properties. For hamiltonicity in 2-connected graphs (recall that 2-connectedness is the necessary connectivity level for the property), pairs of forbidden connected subgraphs are completely characterized [8]. However, for Hamilton-connectedness in 3-connected graphs (where again, 3 -connectedness is the necessary connectivity level for the property), the progress is relatively slow. For forbidden pairs of connected graphs, there is a list of potential candidates: one of them must be the claw $K_{1,3}$, and the second one belongs to the list mentioned in Section 6. Among them, $P_{i}$ and $N_{i, j, k}$ are easier to handle since if $G$ is $\left\{K_{1,3}, P_{i}\right\}$-free or $\left\{K_{1,3}, N_{i, j, k}\right\}$ free, then so is its closure (more on closures in Section 3), but this is not true for $B_{i, j}, Z_{i}$ or $\Gamma_{i}$. In this paper, we introduce a technique that allows to overcome this problem for bull-free graphs.

Theorem A below lists the best known results on pairs of forbidden subgraphs implying Hamilton-connectedness of a 3-connected graph (where, in the statement (iii), $W^{1}$ denotes the graph obtained from the Wagner graph $W$ (see Fig. 2(c)) by attaching exactly one pendant edge to each of its vertices).

Theorem A $\left[\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1 3}, \mathbf{1 4}, \mathbf{1 5 ]}\right.$. Let $G$ be a 3-connected $\left\{K_{1,3}, X\right\}$-free graph, where
(i) $[6] X=\Gamma_{1}$, or
(ii) $[3] X=P_{9}$, or
(iii) [20] $X=Z_{6}$, or $X=Z_{7}$ and $G \nsucceq L\left(W^{1}\right)$, or
(iv) $[15,13,14] X=B_{i, j}$ for $i+j \leq 6$, or
(v) $[15] X=N_{1,2,4}$, or
(vi) $[13,14] X \in\left\{N_{1,1,5}, N_{1,3,3}, N_{2,2,3}\right\}$.

Then $G$ is Hamilton-connected.
Note that statement $(i v)$ is an immediate corollary of $(v)$ and $(v i)$ since $B_{i, j}$ with $i+j \leq 6$ is an induced subgraph of $N_{1,1,5}, N_{1,2,4}$ or $N_{1,3,3}$.

Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the family of graphs obtained by attaching at least one pendant edge to each of the vertices of the Wagner graph $W$, and let $\mathcal{G}=\{L(H) \mid H \in \mathcal{W}\}$ be the family of their line graphs. Then any $G \in \mathcal{G}$ is 3 -connected, non-Hamilton-connected (there is e.g. no hamiltonian $\left(L\left(w_{1} w_{5}\right), L\left(w_{3} w_{7}\right)\right)$-path), $P_{10}$-free, $B_{i, j}$-free for $i+j=8$, and $N_{i, j, k}$-free for $i+j+k=8$. Thus, this example shows that parts $(i i),(v)$ and $(v i)$ of Theorem A are sharp, and also the next result, which is the main result of this paper, is sharp.

Theorem 1. Let $X \in\left\{B_{1,6}, B_{2,5}, B_{3,4}\right\}$, and let $G$ be a 3 -connected $\left\{K_{1,3}, X\right\}$-free graph. Then $G$ is Hamilton-connected.

The proof of Theorem 1 is postponed to Section 5. In Section 3, we collect some known results and facts on line graphs and on closure operations that will be needed. In Subsection 3.5, we develop a method to overcome the difficulties arising from the fact that the class of $\left\{K_{1,3}, B_{i, j}\right\}$ free graphs is not stable under closure operations. In Section 4, we develop a technique that allows a significant reduction of the number of cases to be considered. Finally, in Section 6, we briefly update the discussion of remaining open cases in the characterization of forbidden pairs of connected graphs for Hamilton-connectedness from [14].

## 3 Preliminaries

In Subsections 3.1 - 3.4, we summarize some known facts that will be needed in our proof of Theorem 1, and in Subsection 3.5, we introduce a class of graphs $\mathcal{B}_{i, j}$ such that every $\left\{K_{1,3}, B_{i, j}\right\}$-free graph is in $\mathcal{B}_{i, j}$, and for any $G \in \mathcal{B}_{i, j}$, each of its UM-closures also belongs to $\mathcal{B}_{i, j}$.

### 3.1 Line graphs of multigraphs and their preimages

While in line graphs of graphs, for a line graph $G$, the graph $H$ such that $G=L(H)$ is uniquely determined with a single exception of $G=K_{3}$, in line graphs of multigraphs this is not true. Using a modification of an approach from [22], the following was proved in [18].

Theorem B [18]. Let $G$ be a connected line graph of a multigraph. Then there is, up to an isomorphism, a uniquely determined multigraph $H$ such that $G=L(H)$ and a vertex $e \in V(G)$ is simplicial in $G$ if and only if the corresponding edge $e \in E(H)$ is a pendant edge in $H$.

The multigraph $H$ with the properties given in Theorem B will be called the preimage of a line graph $G$ and denoted $H=L^{-1}(G)$. We will also use the notation $a=L(e)$ and $e=L^{-1}(a)$ for an edge $e \in E(H)$ and the corresponding vertex $a \in V(G)$.

An edge-cut $R \subset E(H)$ of a multigraph $H$ is essential if $H-R$ has at least two nontrivial components, and $H$ is essentially $k$-edge-connected if every essential edge-cut of $H$ is of size at least $k$. It is a well-known fact that a line graph $G$ is $k$-connected if and only if $L^{-1}(G)$ is essentially $k$-edge-connected. It is also a well-known fact that if $X$ is a line graph, then a line graph $G$ is $X$-free if and only if $L^{-1}(G)$ does not contain as a sub(multi)graph (not necessarily induced) a (multi)graph $F$ such that $L(F)=X$ (but not necessarily $F=L^{-1}(X)$ ). However, it is straightforward to verify that for the graph $B_{i, j}$ there is exactly one multigraph $F$ such that $L(F)=B_{i, j}$, namely, the graph $L^{-1}\left(B_{i, j}\right)=S_{1, i+1, j+1}$ (see Fig. $\left.1(d)\right)$.

Harary and Nash-Williams [9] established a correspondence between a DCT in $H$ and a hamiltonian cycle in $L(H)$ (the result was given in [9] for line graphs of graphs, but it is easy to see that it is true also for line graphs of multigraphs). A similar result showing that $G=L(H)$ is Hamilton-connected if and only if $H$ has an $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$-IDT for any pair of edges $e_{1}, e_{2} \in E(H)$, was given in [12] (in fact, part (ii) of the following theorem is slightly stronger than the result from [12], and its easy proof is given in [13]).

Theorem C $[\mathbf{9 , 1 2 ]}$. Let $H$ be a multigraph with $|E(H)| \geq 3$ and let $G=L(H)$.
(i) [9] The graph $G$ is hamiltonian if and only if $H$ has a DCT.
(ii) [12] For every $e_{i} \in E(H)$ and $a_{i}=L\left(e_{i}\right), i=1,2, G$ has a hamiltonian $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$-path if and only if $H$ has an $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$-IDT.

### 3.2 SM-closure

For a graph $G$ and $x \in V(G)$, the local completion of $G$ at $x$ is the graph $G_{x}^{*}=(V(G), E(G) \cup$ $\left.\left\{y_{1} y_{2} \mid y_{1}, y_{2} \in N_{G}(x)\right\}\right)$ (i.e., $G_{x}^{*}$ is obtained from $G$ by adding all the missing edges with both
vertices in $\left.N_{G}(x)\right)$. Obviously, if $G$ is claw-free, then so is $G_{x}^{*}$. Note that in the special case when $G$ is a line graph and $H=L^{-1}(G), G_{x}^{*}$ is the line graph of the (multi)graph obtained from $H$ by contracting the edge $L^{-1}(x)$ into a vertex and replacing the created loop(s) by pendant edge(s). Also note that clearly $x \in V_{S I}\left(G_{x}^{*}\right)$ for any $x \in V(G)$, and, more generally, $V_{S I}(G) \subset V_{S I}\left(G_{x}^{*}\right)$ for any $x \in V(G)$.

We say that a vertex $x \in V(G)$ is eligible if $\left\langle N_{G}(x)\right\rangle_{G}$ is a connected noncomplete graph, and we use $V_{E L}(G)$ to denote the set of all eligible vertices of $G$. In [17], it was shown that if $G$ is claw-free and $x \in V_{E L}(G)$, then $G_{x}^{*}$ is hamiltonian if and only if $G$ is hamiltonian, and the closure $\operatorname{cl}(G)$ of a claw-free graph $G$ was defined as the graph obtained from $G$ by recursively performing the local completion operation at eligible vertices, as long as this is possible (more precisely: $\operatorname{cl}(G)=G_{k}$, where $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}$ is a sequence of graphs such that $G_{1}=G, G_{i+1}=\left(G_{i}\right)_{x_{i}}^{*}$ for some $x_{i} \in V_{E L}\left(G_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, k-1$, and $\left.V_{E L}\left(G_{k}\right)=\emptyset\right)$. We say that $G$ is closed if $G=\operatorname{cl}(G)$. The closure $\operatorname{cl}(G)$ of a claw-free graph $G$ is uniquely determined, is the line graph of a triangle-free graph, and is hamiltonian if and only if so is $G$. However, as observed in [5], the closure operation does not preserve (non-)Hamilton-connectedness of $G$.

For Hamilton-connectedness, the concept of an SM-closure $G^{M}$ of a claw-free graph $G$ was defined in [11] by the following construction.
(i) If $G$ is Hamilton-connected, we set $G^{M}=\operatorname{cl}(G)$.
(ii) If $G$ is not Hamilton-connected, we recursively perform the local completion operation at such eligible vertices for which the resulting graph is still not Hamilton-connected, as long as this is possible. We obtain a sequence of graphs $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}$ such that

- $G_{1}=G$,
- $G_{i+1}=\left(G_{i}\right)_{x_{i}}^{*}$ for some $x_{i} \in V_{E L}\left(G_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, k-1$,
- $G_{k}$ has no hamiltonian $(a, b)$-path for some $a, b \in V\left(G_{k}\right)$,
- for any $x \in V_{E L}\left(G_{k}\right),\left(G_{k}\right)_{x}^{*}$ is Hamilton-connected, and we set $G^{M}=G_{k}$.
A resulting graph $G^{M}$ is called a strong $M$-closure (or briefly an $S M$-closure) of the graph $G$, and a graph $G$ equal to its SM-closure is said to be SM-closed. Note that for a given graph $G$, its SM-closure is not uniquely determined.

As shown in [18] and [11], if $G$ is SM-closed, then $G=L(H)$, where $H$ does not contain any of the multigraphs shown in Fig. 3.


Figure 3: The diamond $T_{1}$, the multitriangle $T_{2}$ and the triple edge $T_{3}$
The following theorem summarizes basic properties of the SM-closure operation.
Theorem D [11]. Let $G$ be a claw-free graph and let $G^{M}$ be some of its SM-closures. Then $G^{M}$ has the following properties:
(i) $V(G)=V\left(G^{M}\right)$ and $E(G) \subset E\left(G^{M}\right)$,
(ii) $G^{M}$ is obtained from $G$ by a sequence of local completions at eligible vertices,
(iii) $G$ is Hamilton-connected if and only if $G^{M}$ is Hamilton-connected,
(iv) if $G$ is Hamilton-connected, then $G^{M}=\operatorname{cl}(G)$,
$(v)$ if $G$ is not Hamilton-connected, then either
$(\alpha) V_{E L}\left(G^{M}\right)=\emptyset$ and $G^{M}=\operatorname{cl}(G)$, or
$(\beta) V_{E L}\left(G^{M}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and $\left(G^{M}\right)_{x}^{*}$ is Hamilton-connected for any $x \in V_{E L}\left(G^{M}\right)$,
(vi) $G^{M}=L(H)$, where $H$ contains either
$(\alpha)$ at most 2 triangles and no multiedge, or
$(\beta)$ no triangle, at most one double edge and no other multiedge,
(vii) if $G^{M}$ contains no hamiltonian ( $a, b$ )-path for some $a, b \in V\left(G^{M}\right)$ and
$(\alpha) X$ is a triangle in $H$, then $E(X) \cap\left\{L_{G^{M}}^{-1}(a), L_{G^{M}}^{-1}(b)\right\} \neq \emptyset$,
$(\beta) X$ is a multiedge in $H$, then $E(X)=\left\{L_{G^{M}}^{-1}(a), L_{G^{M}}^{-1}(b)\right\}$.
We will also need the following lemma on SM-closed graphs proved in [19].
Lemma E [19]. Let $G$ be an SM-closed graph and let $H=L^{-1}(G)$. Then $H$ does not contain a triangle with a vertex of degree 2 in $H$.

### 3.3 The core of the preimage of an SM-closed graph

The definition of the core is slightly problematic for multigraphs, therefore we restrict our observations to the case that we need. Thus, let $G$ be a 3 -connected SM-closed graph and let $H=L^{-1}(G)$. The core of $H$ is the multigraph $\operatorname{co}(H)$ obtained from $H$ by removing all pendant edges and suppressing all vertices of degree 2.

Shao [21] proved the following properties of the core of a multigraph.
Theorem $\mathbf{F}[\mathbf{2 1}]$. Let $H$ be an essentially 3-edge-connected multigraph. Then
(i) $\operatorname{co}(H)$ is uniquely determined,
(ii) $\operatorname{co}(H)$ is 3-edge-connected,
(iii) $V(\mathrm{co}(H))$ dominates all edges of $H$,
(iv) if $\operatorname{co}(H)$ has a spanning closed trail, then $H$ has a DCT.

### 3.4 UM-closure

As shown in [13], the concept of SM-closure can be further strengthened by omitting the eligibility assumption for the application of the local completion operation (which was defined in Subsection 3.2 for any vertex $x \in V(G)$ ). Specifically, for a given claw-free graph $G$, we construct a graph $G^{U}$ by the following construction.
(i) If $G$ is Hamilton-connected, we set $G^{U}=K_{|V(G)|}$.
(ii) If $G$ is not Hamilton-connected, we recursively perform the local completion operation at such vertices for which the resulting graph is still not Hamilton-connected, as long as this is possible. We obtain a sequence of graphs $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}$ such that

- $G_{1}=G$,
- $G_{i+1}=\left(G_{i}\right)_{x_{i}}^{*}$ for some $x_{i} \in V\left(G_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, k-1$,
- $G_{k}$ has no hamiltonian $(a, b)$-path for some $a, b \in V\left(G_{k}\right)$,
- for any $x \in V\left(G_{k}\right),\left(G_{k}\right)_{x}^{*}$ is Hamilton-connected, and we set $G^{U}=G_{k}$.
A graph $G^{U}$ obtained by the above construction is called an ultimate $M$-closure (or briefly a UM-closure) of the graph $G$, and a graph $G$ equal to its UM-closure is said to be UM-closed.

Obviously, if $G$ is UM-closed, then $G$ is also SM-closed, implying that $G$ is a line graph and $H=L^{-1}(G)$ has a special structure (contains no diamond etc. - see Fig. 3 and Theorem D $(v i),(v i i))$. The next theorem shows that for UM-closed graphs, not only $H$, but also co $(H)$ has these strong structural properties.

Theorem G [13]. Let $G$ be a claw-free graph and let $G^{U}$ be some of its UM-closures. Then $G^{U}$ has the following properties:
(i) $V(G)=V\left(G^{U}\right)$ and $E(G) \subset E\left(G^{U}\right)$,
(ii) $G^{U}$ is obtained from $G$ by a sequence of local completions at vertices,
(iii) $G$ is Hamilton-connected if and only if $G^{U}$ is Hamilton-connected,
(iv) if $G$ is Hamilton-connected, then $G^{U}=K_{|V(G)|}$,
$(v)$ if $G$ is not Hamilton-connected, then $\left(G^{U}\right)_{x}^{*}$ is Hamilton-connected for any $x \in V\left(G^{U}\right)$,
(vi) $G^{U}=L(H)$, where $\operatorname{co}(H)$ contains no diamond, no multitriangle and no triple edge, and either
$(\alpha)$ at most 2 triangles and no multiedge, or
$(\beta)$ no triangle, at most one double edge and no other multiedge, and if $\operatorname{co}(H)$ contains a double edge, then this double edge is also in $H$,
(vii) if $G^{U}$ contains no hamiltonian ( $a, b$ )-path for some $a, b \in V\left(G^{U}\right)$ and
$(\alpha) X$ is a triangle in $\operatorname{co}(H)$, then $E(X) \cap\left\{L_{G^{U}}^{-1}(a), L_{G^{U}}^{-1}(b)\right\} \neq \emptyset$,
$(\beta) X$ is a multiedge in $\operatorname{co}(H)$, then $E(X)=\left\{L_{G^{U}}^{-1}(a), L_{G^{U}}^{-1}(b)\right\}$.
The following lemma will be crucial in our proof of Theorem 1 (recall that $W$ denotes the Wagner graph, see Fig. 2(c)).

Lemma H [13]. Let $G$ be a 3-connected non-Hamilton-connected UM-closed claw-free graph. Then $G$ has an induced subgraph $\tilde{G}$ (possibly $\tilde{G}=G$ ) such that $\tilde{G}$ is 3-connected, non-Hamilton-connected and UM-closed, and, moreover, $\tilde{H}_{0}=\operatorname{co}\left(L^{-1}(\tilde{G})\right)$ is 2-connected, and either $c\left(\tilde{H}_{0}\right) \geq 9$ and $\left|V\left(\tilde{H}_{0}\right)\right| \geq 10$, or $\tilde{H}_{0} \simeq W$.

### 3.5 Closure operations and bull-free graphs

When applying closure techniques to \{claw,bull\}-free graphs, the main problem is that a closure of a $\left\{K_{1,3}, B_{i, j}\right\}$-free graph is not necessarily $\left\{K_{1,3}, B_{i, j}\right\}$-free (i.e., in the terminology of [16], the class of $\left\{K_{1,3}, B_{i, j}\right\}$-free graphs is not stable under the closure operation). Unfortunately, this is the case with all the closure operations mentioned in the previous subsections.

It turns out that this difficulty can be overcome by working in a slightly larger class of graphs which contains all the requested $\left\{K_{1,3}, B_{i, j}\right\}$-free graphs but is stable under the closure. We define the class $\mathcal{B}_{i, j}$ as follows.

For any positive integers $i, j, \mathcal{B}_{i, j}$ is the class of all claw-free graphs $G$ such that every induced subgraph $F \subset G, F \simeq B_{i, j}$, satisfies $\mu(F) \in V_{S I}(G)$.

Clearly，every $\left\{K_{1,3}, B_{i, j}\right\}$－free graph is in $\mathcal{B}_{i, j}$ ．
Theorem 2．Let $i, j$ be positive integers and let $G \in \mathcal{B}_{i, j}$ ．Then，for any $x \in V(G)$ ， $G_{x}^{*} \in \mathcal{B}_{i, j}$ ．

Proof．Let，to the contrary，$G \in \mathcal{B}_{i, j}$ and $x \in V(G)$ be such that $G_{x}^{*}$ contains an induced subgraph $F \simeq B_{i, j}$ with $\mu(F) \notin V_{S I}\left(G_{x}^{*}\right)$ ．We will keep the notation of the vertices of $F$ as in Fig． $1(b)$ ，and we will denote by $T$ the triangle $\left\langle\left\{b, a_{0}^{1}, a_{0}^{2}\right\}\right\rangle_{F}$ ．Since $G \in \mathcal{B}_{i, j}$ and $b=\mu(F)$ is nonsimplicial also in $G$（recall that $V_{S I}(G) \subset V_{S I}\left(G_{x}^{*}\right)$ ，we have $E(F) \backslash E(G) \neq \emptyset$ ．The edges in $E(F) \backslash E(G)$ will be referred to as new edges，and we will denote $E(F) \backslash E(G)=\operatorname{new}(F)$ ．

Suppose first that new $(F) \cap E(T)=\emptyset$ ．Let，say，$e=a_{k}^{2} a_{k+1}^{2}$ be a new edge for some $k$ ， $0 \leq k \leq j-1$ ．Since $e \in E\left(G_{x}^{*}\right) \backslash E(G)$ ，we have $a_{k}^{2}, a_{k+1}^{2} \in N_{G}(x)$ ．Since $F$ is induced in $G_{x}^{*}$ ，the vertices $a_{k}^{2}, a_{k+1}^{2}$ are the only neighbors of $x$ in $V(F)$（both in $G$ and in $G_{x}^{*}$ ）．But then the graph $F^{\prime}=\left\langle\left\{b, a_{0}^{1}, \ldots, a_{i}^{1}, a_{0}^{2}, \ldots, a_{k}^{2}, x, a_{k+1}^{2}, \ldots, a_{j-1}^{2}\right\}\right\rangle_{G}$ is an induced $B_{i, j}$ in $G$ with $\mu\left(F^{\prime}\right) \notin V_{S I}(G)$ ，contradicting the fact that $G \in \mathcal{B}_{i, j}$ ．

Thus，we have new $(F) \subset E(T)$ ．If new $(F)=E(T)$ ，then $\left\langle\left\{x, b, a_{0}^{1}, a_{0}^{2}\right\}\right\rangle_{G} \simeq K_{1,3}$ ，a contradiction．Hence $1 \leq|\operatorname{new}(F)| \leq 2$ ．

Suppose first that $\mid$ new $(F) \mid=2$ ．By symmetry，either new $(F)=\left\{b a_{0}^{1}, b a_{0}^{2}\right\}$ ，or new $(F)=$ $\left\{b a_{0}^{1}, a_{0}^{1} a_{0}^{2}\right\}$ ．In both cases，necessarily $N_{G}(x) \cap V(F)=\left\{b, a_{0}^{1}, a_{0}^{2}\right\}$（since $F$ is induced in $\left.G_{x}^{*}\right)$ ．Then，in the first case $F^{\prime}=\left\langle\left\{x, a_{0}^{1}, \ldots, a_{i}^{1}, a_{0}^{2}, \ldots, a_{j}^{2}\right\}\right\rangle_{G}$ ，and in the second case $F^{\prime}=$ $\left\langle\left\{b, x, a_{0}^{1}, \ldots, a_{i-1}^{1}, a_{0}^{2}, \ldots, a_{j}^{2}\right\}\right\rangle_{G}$ is an induced $B_{i, j}$ in $G$ with $\mu\left(F^{\prime}\right) \notin V_{S I}(G)$ ，a contradiction．

Hence $|\operatorname{new}(F)|=1$ and then，by symmetry，either new $(F)=\left\{b a_{0}^{1}\right\}$ ，or new $(F)=\left\{a_{0}^{1} a_{0}^{2}\right\}$ ． However，if new $(F)=\left\{b a_{0}^{1}\right\}$ ，then immediately $\left\langle\left\{a_{0}^{2}, a_{1}^{2}, a_{0}^{1}, b\right\}\right\rangle_{G} \simeq K_{1,3}$ ，a contradiction．

Thus，the only remaining case is new $(F)=\left\{a_{0}^{1} a_{0}^{2}\right\}$ ．Then $a_{0}^{1}, a_{0}^{2} \in N_{G}(x)$ ，and $x \neq b$（since otherwise $x=b \in V_{S I}\left(G_{x}^{*}\right)$ ．We have $a_{1}^{1} x, a_{1}^{1} b \notin E(G)$ since $F$ is induced in $G_{x}^{*}$ ，implying $b x \in E(G)$ ，for otherwise $\left\langle\left\{a_{0}^{1}, a_{1}^{1}, b, x\right\}\right\rangle_{G} \simeq K_{1,3}$ ．Since $b \notin V_{S I}\left(G_{x}^{*}\right)$ ，there is a vertex $u \in N_{G}(b)$ such that $x u \notin E(G)$ ，and since $\left\langle\left\{b, u, a_{0}^{1}, a_{0}^{2}\right\}\right\rangle_{G} \not 千 K_{1,3}, N_{G}(u) \cap\left\{a_{0}^{1}, a_{0}^{2}\right\} \neq \emptyset$ ．By symmetry，let $u a_{0}^{1} \in E(G)$ ．Since $\left\langle\left\{a_{0}^{1}, x, u, a_{1}^{1}\right\}\right\rangle_{G} \not 千 K_{1,3}$ ，we have $u a_{1}^{1} \in E(G)$ ．

We consider the graph $F^{\prime \prime}=\left\langle\left\{x, b, u, a_{0}^{2}, \ldots, a_{j}^{2}\right\}\right\rangle_{G}$ if $i=1, F^{\prime \prime}=\left\langle\left\{x, b, u, a_{1}^{1}, a_{0}^{2}, \ldots, a_{j}^{2}\right\}\right\rangle_{G}$ if $i=2$ ，or $F^{\prime \prime}=\left\langle\left\{x, b, u, a_{1}^{1} \ldots, a_{i-1}^{1}, a_{0}^{2}, \ldots, a_{j}^{2}\right\}\right\rangle_{G}$ if $i \geq 3$ ，respectively．If $F^{\prime \prime} \simeq B_{i, j}$ ，then $x=\mu\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right)$ ，contradicting the fact that $G \in \mathcal{B}_{i, j}$ since $x \notin V_{S I}(G)$ ．Hence $F^{\prime \prime} \not 千 B_{i, j}$ ，implying that either $u a_{0}^{2} \in E(G)$ ，or，if $i \geq 3$ ，possibly $u a_{1}^{2} \in E(G)$（all other potential edges either imply a claw with center at $u$ ，or contradict the fact that $F$ is induced in $G_{x}^{*}$ ）．

Let first $u a_{0}^{2} \in E(G)$ ．Since $\left\langle\left\{a_{0}^{2}, a_{1}^{2}, x, u\right\}\right\rangle_{G} \not \approx K_{1,3}$ ，we have $u a_{1}^{2} \in E(G)$ ，but then $\left\langle\left\{u, a_{1}^{2}, b, a_{1}^{1}\right\}\right\rangle_{G} \simeq K_{1,3}$ ，a contradiction．

Secondly，if $i=1$ ，then $F^{\prime \prime}=\left\langle\left\{x, b, u, a_{0}^{2}, \ldots, a_{j}^{2}\right\}\right\rangle_{G} \simeq B_{i, j}$ ，and if $i=2$ ，then $F^{\prime \prime}=$ $\left\langle\left\{x, b, u, a_{1}^{1}, a_{0}^{2}, \ldots, a_{j}^{2}\right\}\right\rangle_{G} \simeq B_{i, j}$ with $\mu\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right)=x$ ，a contradiction again．

Hence $i \geq 3$ and $u a_{1}^{2} \in E(G)$ ．But then we have $F^{\prime \prime \prime}=\left\langle\left\{x, b, u, a_{2}^{1} \ldots, a_{i}^{1}, a_{0}^{2}, \ldots, a_{j}^{2}\right\}\right\rangle_{G} \simeq$ $B_{i, j}$ with $\mu\left(F^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=x$ ，a contradiction．

The following corollary is immediate．
Corollary 3．Let $G$ be a $\left\{K_{1,3}, B_{i, j}\right\}$－free graph for some $i, j \geq 1$ ，and let $G^{U}$ be one of UM－closures of $G$ ．Then $G^{U} \in \mathcal{B}_{i, j}$ ．

## 4 A special version of the "Nine-point-theorem"

We will use a special version of the well-known "Nine-point-theorem" by Holton et al. [10] and of its modification by Bau and Holton [2], developed in [13]. For this, we need some more terminology from [1].

Let $G$ be a multigraph, $R \subset G$ a spanning sub(multi)graph of $G$, and let $\mathcal{R}$ be the set of components of $R$. Then $G / R$ is the multigraph with $V(G / R)=\mathcal{R}$, in which, for each edge in $E(G)$ between two components of $R$, there is an edge in $E(G / R)$ joining the corresponding vertices of $G / R$. The (multi)graph $G / R$ is said to be a contraction of $G$. (Roughly, in $G / R$, components of $R$ are contracted to single vertices while keeping the adjacencies between them). Clearly, if $R$ is connected, then $G / R=K_{1}$, and if $R$ is edgeless, then $G / R=G$; these two contractions are called trivial.

The contraction operation maps $V(G)$ onto $V(G / R)$, where vertices of a component of $R$ are mapped on a vertex of $G / R$. If $G / R \simeq F$, then this defines a function $\alpha: G \rightarrow F$ which is called a contraction of $G$ on $F$.

Throughout the rest of this section, $\Pi$ denotes the Petersen graph.
The following special version of the "nine-point-theorem" was proved in [13].
Theorem I [13]. Let $H$ be a 3-edge-connected multigraph, $A \subset V(H),|A|=8$, and let $e \in E(H)$. Then either
(i) $H$ contains a closed trail $T$ such that $A \subset V(T)$ and $e \in E(T)$, or
(ii) there is a contraction $\alpha: H \rightarrow \Pi$ such that $\alpha(e)=x y \in E(\Pi)$ and $\alpha(A)=V(\Pi) \backslash\{x, y\}$.

We will also need the following auxiliary result from [13].
Lemma J [13]. Let $H$ be a graph such that $\operatorname{co}(H)=W$. If there is a vertex $x \in V(\operatorname{co}(H))$ such that $N_{H}(x)=N_{\mathrm{co}(H)}(x)$, then $L(H)$ is Hamilton-connected.

Theorem 4. Let $X \in\left\{B_{1,6}, B_{2,5}, B_{3,4}\right\}$, and let $G$ be a 3-connected $\left\{K_{1,3}, X\right\}$-free graph with a UM-closure $G^{U}$ such that $\operatorname{co}(H)$, where $H=L^{-1}\left(G^{U}\right)$, is 2-connected. Let $e_{1}, e_{2} \in$ $E(H)$ be such that there is no $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$-IDT in $H$. Then for every set $A \subset V(\operatorname{co}(H)),|A|=8$, there is an $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$-trail $T$ in $H$ such that $A \subset \operatorname{Int}(T)$.

Proof. First of all, it should be noted here that some parts of the proof of Theorem 4 are (almost) the same as the corresponding parts of the proof of Theorem 9 in [13]. Since the other parts are quite different, for the sake of completeness, we give a complete proof here, including the identical parts.

Let $G$ be a graph satisfying the assumptions of the theorem. By Corollary $3, G^{U} \in$ $\mathcal{B}_{1,6} \cup \mathcal{B}_{2,5} \cup \mathcal{B}_{3,4}$, implying that in $H=L^{-1}\left(G^{U}\right)$, every subgraph (not necessarily induced) isomorphic to $S_{1,2,7}, S_{1,3,6}$ or $S_{1,4,5}$ has its branch of length 1 at a pendant edge (recall that a vertex in $G^{U}$ is simplicial if and only if the corresponding edge in $H=L^{-1}\left(G^{U}\right)$ is pendant by Theorem B).

Let $H^{\prime}$ be the multigraph obtained from $H$ by the following construction:
(i) if $e_{1}, e_{2}$ share a vertex of degree 2 , say, $e_{i}=v_{i} v, i=1,2$ with $v \in V_{2}(H)$, we suppress $v$ and set $h=v_{1} v_{2}$,
(ii) otherwise, we subdivide either $e_{i}$ if $e_{i}$ is nonpendant, or some edge in $\operatorname{co}(H)$ sharing a vertex with $e_{i}$ if $e_{i}$ is pendant, with a vertex $v_{i}, i=1,2$, and add a new edge $h=v_{1} v_{2}$. If there is no contraction $\alpha^{\prime}: H^{\prime} \rightarrow \Pi$ such that $\alpha^{\prime}(h)=x_{1} x_{2} \in E(\Pi)$ and $\alpha^{\prime}(A)=V(\Pi) \backslash$ $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$, then, by Theorem I, there is a closed trail $T^{\prime}$ in $H^{\prime}$ such that $A \subset V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ and $h \in E\left(T^{\prime}\right)$. Returning to $H$, i.e., in case ( $i$ ) subdividing $h$, or in case (ii) removing $h$, suppressing $v_{1}, v_{2}$, and extending the trail to $e_{i}$ if $e_{i}$ is pendant, we obtain an $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$-trail $T$ in $H$ with $A \subset \operatorname{Int}(T)$.

Thus, we suppose that there is a contraction $\alpha^{\prime}: H^{\prime} \rightarrow \Pi$ such that $\alpha^{\prime}(h)=x_{1} x_{2} \in E(\Pi)$ and $\alpha^{\prime}(A)=V(\Pi) \backslash\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$. In case $(i), H$ can be contracted on a graph isomorphic to the Petersen graph with at least one subdivided edge which contains each of the graphs $S_{1,2,7}$, $S_{1,3,6}$ and $S_{1,4,5}$ : in the labeling of vertices as in Fig. 2(b), if, say, the edge $p_{1}^{1} p_{1}^{2}$ is subdivided with a vertex $q$, we have $S_{1,2,7}\left(p_{1}^{1} ; q ; p_{2}^{1} p_{3}^{1} ; p_{5}^{1} p_{4}^{1} p_{4}^{2} p_{1}^{2} p_{3}^{2} p_{5}^{2} p_{2}^{2}\right), S_{1,3,6}\left(p_{1}^{1} ; q ; p_{5}^{1} p_{4}^{1} p_{3}^{1} ; p_{2}^{1} p_{2}^{2} p_{4}^{2} p_{1}^{2} p_{3}^{2} p_{5}^{2}\right)$, and $S_{1,4,5}\left(p_{1}^{1} ; q ; p_{5}^{1} p_{4}^{1} p_{4}^{2} p_{1}^{2} ; p_{2}^{1} p_{3}^{1} p_{3}^{2} p_{5}^{2} p_{2}^{2}\right)$ as subgraphs of $H$ with the branch of length 1 at a nonpendant edge, a contradiction. Thus, for the rest of the proof, we suppose that $H^{\prime}$ is obtained by construction (ii).

Set $H_{0}=\operatorname{co}(H)$, and recall that $H_{0}$ is 3-edge-connected (since $H$ is essentially 3-edgeconnected). Let $R^{\prime}$ be the spanning sub(multi)graph of $H^{\prime}$ that defines $\alpha^{\prime}$, and suppose that, say, the component $R_{1}=\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(x_{1}\right)$ of $R^{\prime}$ is nontrivial. Since $x_{1} \in V(\Pi), R_{1}$ is separated from the rest of $H^{\prime}$ by a 3 -edge-cut containing the edge $h$, implying that in $H_{0}$, the sub(multi)graph $R_{1}-v_{1}$ is separated from the rest of $H_{0}$ by a 2 -edge-cut, contradicting the fact that $H_{0}$ is 3-edge-connected. Hence $\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(x_{1}\right)$, and symmetrically also $\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(x_{2}\right)$, are trivial, i.e., $V\left(\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)=\left\{v_{i}\right\}, i=1,2$. Removing from $H^{\prime}$ the edge $h$ and suppressing $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$, we obtain from $R^{\prime}$ the corresponding spanning sub(multi)graph $R$ of $H$, and from $R$, in a standard way, a spanning sub(multi)graph $R_{0}$ of $H_{0}$. Note that clearly every component of $R^{\prime}$ except $\left\{v_{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{2}\right\}$ corresponds to a nonempty component of $R_{0}$ since $\alpha^{\prime}$ maps $H^{\prime}$ on a cubic graph and hence every component of $R^{\prime}$ must contain a vertex of degree more than 2 . Then the components of $R_{0}$ define a contraction $\alpha: H_{0} \rightarrow W$, where $W$ is the Wagner graph (see Fig. 2(c); recall that $W$ can be obtained from $\Pi$ by removing an edge and suppressing the created vertices of degree 2).

Case 1: $\alpha^{-1}(w)$ is trivial for any $w \in V(W)$.
Then we have $H_{0} \simeq W$. By Lemma J, every vertex of $H_{0}$ is incident in $H$ to a pendant edge or to a subdivided edge.

Subcase 1.1: no edge of $H_{0}$ is subdivided in $H$.
Then, by Lemma J, each vertex of $H_{0}$ is incident in $H$ with at least one pendant edge, and then $H$ contains each of the subgraphs $S_{1,2,7}\left(w_{1} ; w_{1}^{\prime} ; w_{8} w_{8}^{\prime} ; w_{2} w_{3} w_{4} w_{5} w_{6} w_{7} w_{7}^{\prime}\right)$, $S_{1,3,6}\left(w_{1} ; w_{1}^{\prime} ; w_{8} w_{7} w_{7}^{\prime} ; w_{2} w_{3} w_{4} w_{5} w_{6} w_{6}^{\prime}\right)$ and $S_{1,4,5}\left(w_{1} ; w_{1}^{\prime} ; w_{8} w_{7} w_{6} w_{6}^{\prime} ; w_{2} w_{3} w_{4} w_{5} w_{5}^{\prime}\right)$ (where $w_{i}^{\prime}$ is a vertex of degree 1 adjacent to $\left.w_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 8\right)$.
Since $G$ is $X$-free for $X \in\left\{B_{1,6}, B_{2,5}, B_{3,4}\right\}$, for some vertex $w_{i} \in V\left(H_{0}\right)$, the set of edges incident to $w_{i}$ corresponds in $L(H)=G^{U}$ to a clique obtained from a certain subgraph of $G$ by a series of local completions. Let $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}$ be the sequence of graphs that yields $G^{U}$, i.e., $G_{1}=G, G_{k}=G^{U}$ and $G_{i+1}=\left(G_{i}\right)_{x_{i}}^{*}$ for some $x_{i} \in V\left(G_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, k-1$. Then $x_{k-1} \in V_{S I}\left(G^{U}\right)$, thus, by Theorem $\mathrm{B}, x_{k-1}$ corresponds to a pendant edge in
H. Choose the notation such that $L^{-1}\left(x_{k-1}\right)=w_{1} w_{1}^{\prime}$. For any edge $w_{i} w_{j} \in E(W)$ set $L\left(w_{i} w_{j}\right)=v_{i, j}$, and set $L\left(w_{i} w_{i}^{\prime}\right)=v_{i}, i=2, \ldots, 8$. Since $\left\langle\left\{x_{k-1}, v_{1,2}, v_{1,5}, v_{1,8}\right\}\right\rangle_{G^{U}}$ is a clique, $x_{k-1}$ is adjacent in $G_{k-1}$ to each of $v_{1,2}, v_{1,5}$ and $v_{1,8}$. Now, if $v_{1,2} v_{1,8} \in$ $E\left(G_{k-1}\right)$, we have $F_{1}=\left\langle\left\{x_{k-1}, v_{1,8}, v_{8}, v_{1,2}, v_{2,3}, v_{3,4}, v_{4,5}, v_{5,6}, v_{6,7}, v_{7}\right\}\right\rangle_{G_{k-1}} \simeq B_{1,6}, F_{2}=$ $\left\langle\left\{x_{k-1}, v_{1,8}, v_{7,8}, v_{7}, v_{1,2}, v_{2,3}, v_{3,4}, v_{4,5}, v_{5,6}, v_{6}\right\}\right\rangle_{G_{k-1}} \simeq B_{2,5}$ and $F_{3}=\left\langle\left\{x_{k-1}, v_{1,8}, v_{7,8}, v_{6,7}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.v_{6}, v_{1,2}, v_{2,3}, v_{3,4}, v_{4,5}, v_{5}\right\}\right\rangle_{G_{k-1}} \simeq B_{3,4}$ with $\mu\left(F_{1}\right)=\mu\left(F_{2}\right)=\mu\left(F_{3}\right)=x_{k-1}$, contradicting the fact that $G_{k-1} \in \mathcal{B}_{1,6} \cup \mathcal{B}_{2,5} \cup \mathcal{B}_{3,4}$ (since $x_{k-1}$ is simplicial in $G_{k}$, but not in $G_{k-1}$ ). Hence $v_{1,2} v_{1,8} \notin E\left(G_{k-1}\right)$, i.e., $v_{1,2} v_{1,8}$ is a new edge in $G_{k}=G^{U}$.
If both $v_{1,2} v_{1,5} \notin E\left(G_{k-1}\right)$ and $v_{1,5} v_{1,8} \notin E\left(G_{k-1}\right)$, we have $\left\langle\left\{x_{k-1}, v_{1,2}, v_{1,5}, v_{1,8}\right\}\right\rangle_{G_{k-1}} \simeq$ $K_{1,3}$, a contradiction. If both $v_{1,2} v_{1,5} \in E\left(G_{k-1}\right)$ and $v_{1,5} v_{1,8} \in E\left(G_{k-1}\right)$, then we have $\left\langle\left\{v_{1,5}, v_{1,2}, v_{1,8}, v_{4,5}\right\}\right\rangle_{G_{k-1}} \simeq K_{1,3}$, a contradiction again. Thus, by symmetry, we can assume that $v_{1,2} v_{1,5} \in E\left(G_{k-1}\right)$ and $v_{1,5} v_{1,8} \notin E\left(G_{k-1}\right)$. Then $F_{1}=\left\langle\left\{x_{k-1}, v_{1,2}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.v_{2}, v_{1,5}, v_{5,6}, v_{6,7}, v_{7,8}, v_{4,8}, v_{3,4}, v_{3}\right\}\right\rangle_{G_{k-1}} \simeq B_{1,6}, F_{2}=\left\langle\left\{x_{k-1}, v_{1,5}, v_{5,6}, v_{6}, v_{1,2}, v_{2,3}, v_{3,4}, v_{4,8}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.v_{7,8}, v_{7}\right\}\right\rangle_{G_{k-1}} \simeq B_{2,5}$ and $F_{3}=\left\langle\left\{x_{k-1}, v_{1,5}, v_{4,5}, v_{3,4}, v_{3}, v_{1,2}, v_{2,6}, v_{6,7}, v_{7,8}, v_{8}\right\}\right\rangle_{G_{k-1}} \simeq B_{3,4}$ with $\mu\left(F_{1}\right)=\mu\left(F_{2}\right)=\mu\left(F_{3}\right)=x_{k-1}$, a contradiction again.

Subcase 1.2: at least one edge of $H_{0}$ is subdivided in $H$.
By symmetry, we can choose the notation such that $w_{1} w_{2}$ or $w_{1} w_{5}$ is subdivided in $H$ with a vertex $w$ of degree 2 in $H$. Then we have the following possibilities.

| Subdivided edge | Subgraph $S_{i, j, k}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $w_{1} w_{2}$ | $S_{1,2,7}\left(w_{1} ; w ; w_{5} w_{5}^{\prime} ; w_{8} w_{4} w_{3} w_{2} w_{6} w_{7} w_{7}^{\prime}\right)$ |
|  | $S_{1,3,6}\left(w_{1} ; w_{8} ; w_{5} w_{4} w_{4}^{\prime} ; w w_{2} w_{3} w_{7} w_{6} w_{6}^{\prime}\right)$ |
|  | $S_{1,4,5}\left(w_{1} ; w_{5} ; w_{8} w_{7} w_{6} w_{6}^{\prime} ; w w_{2} w_{3} w_{4} w_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ |
| $w_{1} w_{5}$ | $S_{1,2,7}\left(w_{1} ; w_{2} ; w_{8} w_{8}^{\prime} ; w w_{5} w_{4} w_{3} w_{7} w_{6} w_{6}^{\prime}\right)$ |
|  | $S_{1,3,6}\left(w_{3} ; w_{7} ; w_{4} w_{8} w_{8}^{\prime} ; w_{2} w_{1} w_{5} w_{6} w_{6}^{\prime}\right)$ |
|  | $S_{1,4,5}\left(w_{1} ; w_{2} ; w_{8} w_{7} w_{6} w_{6}^{\prime} ; w w_{5} w_{4} w_{3} w_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ |

where $w_{i}^{\prime}$ is a neighbor of $w_{i}$ in $H-H_{0}$ which exists by Lemma J (note that $w_{i}^{\prime}$ can be a vertex of degree 2, subdividing some of the edges incident to $w_{i}$, in which case the last two vertices of a branch can occur in reverse order).
Since in each of the cases the branch of length 1 is a nonpendant edge, we have a contradiction with the fact that $G^{U} \in \mathcal{B}_{1,6} \cup \mathcal{B}_{2,5} \cup \mathcal{B}_{3,4}$.

Case 2: $\alpha^{-1}(w)$ is nontrivial for some $w \in V(W)$.
Let $R_{1}^{0}, \ldots, R_{8}^{0}$ be the components of the (multi)graph $R_{0}$ that defines $\alpha$, and choose the notation such that $R_{i}^{0}=\alpha^{-1}\left(w_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, 8$, and such that $R_{1}^{0}=\alpha^{-1}\left(w_{1}\right)$ is nontrivial. Recall that $\cup_{i=1}^{8}\left(V\left(R_{i}^{0}\right)\right)=V\left(R_{0}\right)=V\left(H_{0}\right)$.
We observe that $e_{1}, e_{2} \in E\left(H_{0}\right) \backslash E\left(R_{0}\right)$ since, by the construction of $H^{\prime}, \alpha^{-1}\left(x_{i}\right)=v_{i}$ are trivial and after deleting the edge $h$ and suppressing the vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}$, each of the edges $e_{1}, e_{2}$ has its vertices in different components of $R_{0}$. By Theorem $\mathrm{G}(v i),(v i i)$, this implies that each $R_{i}^{0}$ is a triangle-free (simple) graph. Moreover, each $R_{i}^{0}$ is 2-edge-connected since $R_{i}^{0}=\alpha^{-1}\left(w_{i}\right)$ is separated from the rest of $H_{0}$ by a 3 -edge-cut and a cut-edge in $R_{i}^{0}$ would create a 2-edge-cut in $H_{0}$.
We introduce the following notation. For any edge $w_{i} w_{j} \in E(W)$, we set $f_{i j}=\alpha^{-1}\left(w_{i} w_{j}\right)$ (i.e., $f_{i j}$ joins $R_{i}^{0}$ and $R_{j}^{0}$ ), and we denote $b_{j}^{i}$ its vertex in $R_{i}^{0}$ and $b_{i}^{j}$ its vertex in $R_{j}^{0}$. Thus,
we e.g. have $A_{H_{0}}\left(R_{1}^{0}\right)=\left\{b_{2}^{1}, b_{5}^{1}, b_{8}^{1}\right\}$, where $2 \leq\left|\left\{b_{2}^{1}, b_{5}^{1}, b_{8}^{1}\right\}\right| \leq 3$, and $\left\{f_{12}, f_{15}, f_{18}\right\}$ is the 3-edge-cut that separates $R_{1}^{0}$ from the rest of $H_{0}$.

Claim 1. Let $R_{i}^{0}$ be a component of $R_{0}, 1 \leq i \leq 8$, and let $A_{H_{0}}\left(R_{i}^{0}\right)=\left\{b_{j_{1}}^{i}, b_{j_{2}}^{i}, b_{j_{3}}^{i}\right\}$. Then there is a vertex $d^{i} \in V\left(R_{i}^{0}\right)$ and three internally vertex-disjoint (possibly trivial) $\left(d^{i}, b_{j_{k}}^{i}\right)$-paths $P_{j_{k}}^{i}, k=1,2,3$.

Proof. Let $P$ be an arbitrary (possibly trivial) $\left(b_{j_{1}}^{i}, b_{j_{2}}^{i}\right)$-path in $R_{i}^{0}$, and let $P_{j_{3}}^{i}$ be a shortest path between $b_{j_{3}}^{i}$ and a vertex of $P$, which will be referred to as $d^{i}$. Then the vertex $d^{i}$ and the paths $P_{j_{1}}^{i}=d^{i} P b_{j_{1}}^{i} P_{j_{2}}^{i}=d^{i} P b_{j_{2}}^{i}$ and $P_{j_{3}}^{i}$ have the required properties.

Claim 2. The component $R_{1}^{0}$ contains a cycle $C$ of length at least 4, vertices $c_{2}, c_{5}, c_{8} \in$ $V(C)$ and paths $Q_{2}^{1}, Q_{5}^{1}, Q_{8}^{1}$ (possibly trivial) such that
(i) $2 \leq\left|\left\{c_{2}, c_{5}, c_{8}\right\}\right| \leq 3$,
(ii) $Q_{2}^{1}$ is a $\left(c_{2}, b_{2}^{1}\right)$-path, $Q_{5}^{1}$ is a $\left(c_{5}, b_{5}^{1}\right)$-path and $Q_{8}^{1}$ is a $\left(c_{8}, b_{8}^{1}\right)$-path,
(iii) the paths $Q_{2}^{1}, Q_{5}^{1}, Q_{8}^{1}$ are internally vertex-disjoint.

Proof. Let $d^{1}$ and $P_{2}^{1}, P_{5}^{1}, P_{8}^{1}$ be the vertex and paths in $R_{1}^{0}$ given by Claim 1 . Since $R_{1}^{0}$ is nontrivial, at least one of $P_{2}^{1}, P_{5}^{1}, P_{8}^{1}$ is nontrivial. Suppose that, say, $P_{5}^{1}$ is nontrivial. We consider a $\left(b_{2}^{1}, b_{8}^{1}\right)$-path $P$ and choose two edge-disjoint paths $P_{5}^{\prime}, P_{5}^{\prime \prime}$ such that

- $P_{5}^{\prime}$ is a $\left(b_{5}^{1}, c_{2}\right)$-path and $P_{5}^{\prime \prime}$ is a $\left(b_{5}^{1}, c_{8}\right)$-path for some $c_{2}, c_{8} \in V(P)$,
- if $c_{2} \neq c_{8}$, then $c_{2}$ is on $P$ between $c_{8}$ and $b_{2}^{1}$, and
- $c_{2}, c_{8}, P_{5}^{\prime}$ and $P_{5}^{\prime \prime}$ are chosen such that $\left|E\left(P_{5}^{\prime}\right)\right|+\left|E\left(P_{5}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|$ is smallest possible.

If $c_{2} \neq c_{8}$, we choose $c_{5}$ as the last common vertex of $P_{5}^{\prime}$ and $P_{5}^{\prime \prime}$, and we set $C=$ $c_{2} P c_{8} P_{5}^{\prime \prime} c_{5} P_{5}^{\prime} c_{2}, Q_{2}^{1}=c_{2} P b_{2}^{1}, Q_{8}^{1}=c_{8} P b_{8}^{1}$, and, say, $Q_{5}^{1}=c_{5} P_{5}^{\prime} b_{5}^{1}$. If $c_{2}=c_{8}$, we choose $c_{5}$ as the last common vertex of $P_{5}^{\prime}$ and $P_{5}^{\prime \prime}$ distinct from the vertex $c_{2}=c_{8}$ (possibly $c_{5}=b_{5}^{1}$ ), and set $C=c_{2} P_{5}^{\prime} c_{5} P_{5}^{\prime \prime} c_{2}, Q_{2}^{1}=c_{2} P b_{2}^{1}, Q_{8}^{1}=c_{8} P b_{8}^{1}$, and, say, $Q_{5}^{1}=c_{5} P_{5}^{\prime} b_{5}^{1}$ (recall that each $R_{i}^{0}$ is a triangle-free (simple) graph, hence in each case, $C$ is of length at least 4). If $P_{2}^{1}$ or $P_{8}^{1}$ is nontrivial, we get $C, Q_{2}^{1}, Q_{5}^{1}$ and $Q_{8}^{1}$ in the same way with the only difference that possibly $c_{5}=c_{8}$ or $c_{2}=c_{5}$.

By Claim 2, we have, up to a symmetry, the following possibilities (note that $W$ has two types of symmetries - rotations and reflections, but is not edge-transitive): $\left|\left\{c_{2}, c_{5}, c_{8}\right\}\right|=3$; $\left|\left\{c_{2}, c_{5}, c_{8}\right\}\right|=2$ and $c_{2}=c_{8} ;\left|\left\{c_{2}, c_{5}, c_{8}\right\}\right|=2$ and $c_{2}=c_{5}$. For each of the requested graphs $S_{1,2,7}, S_{1,3,6}$ and $S_{1,4,5}$, we describe a sub(multi)graph of $H_{0}$ in which it is contained, in all three possible cases. Here, for integers $i_{0}, j_{0}, k_{0}, 1 \leq i_{0} \leq j_{0} \leq k_{0}$, we use $S_{\geq i_{0}, \geq j_{0}, \geq k_{0}}$ to denote a graph containing an $S_{i_{0}, j_{0}, k_{0}}$ as a subgraph. If a component $R_{i}^{0}$ contains the vertex of degree 3 of the $S_{\geq i_{0}, \geq j_{0}, \geq k_{0}}$, then it is located in the vertex $d^{i}$ and uses the paths $P_{j_{k}}^{i}, k=1,2,3$, given by Claim 1, and for any other component $R_{i}^{0}, 2 \leq i \leq 8$, and $b_{j}^{i}, b_{k}^{i} \in A_{H_{0}}\left(R_{i}^{0}\right)$, we use $Q_{j, k}^{i}$ to denote an arbitrarily chosen $\left(b_{j}^{i}, b_{k}^{i}\right)$-path in $R_{i}^{0}$ (of course, if $R_{i}^{0}$ is trivial, all these paths collapse to a single vertex).
If we relabel the vertices of the cycle $C$ given by Claim 2 such that $C=u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{|V(C)|}$ with $u_{1}=c_{5}$ (and also $u_{1}=c_{5}=c_{2}$ in the third case), then the requested subgraphs, containing $S_{1,2,7}$ and $S_{1,4,5}$, can be (in all three cases) described as $S_{\geq 1, \geq 2, \geq 7}\left(d^{3} ; P_{2}^{3} b_{3}^{2} ; P_{4}^{3} Q_{3,8}^{4} b_{4}^{8}\right.$;
$\left.P_{7}^{3} Q_{3,6}^{7} Q_{7,5}^{6} Q_{6,1}^{5} Q_{5}^{1} u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} u_{4}\right)$ and $S_{\geq 1, \geq 4, \geq 5}\left(d^{4} ; P_{8}^{4} b_{4}^{8} ; P_{3}^{4} Q_{4,2}^{3} Q_{3,6}^{2} Q_{2,7}^{6} b_{6}^{7} ; P_{5}^{4} Q_{4,1}^{5} Q_{5}^{1} u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} u_{4}\right)$; finally, if we relabel the vertices of $C$ such that $C=u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{|V(C)|}$ with $u_{1}=c_{8}$ (and also $u_{1}=c_{2}=c_{8}$ in the second case), then the subgraph, containing $S_{1,3,6}$, can be (in all three cases) described as $S_{\geq 1, \geq 3, \geq 6}\left(d^{6} ; P_{2}^{6} b_{6}^{2} ; P_{5}^{6} Q_{6,4}^{5} Q_{5,3}^{4} b_{4}^{3} ; P_{7}^{6} Q_{6,8}^{7} Q_{7,1}^{8} Q_{8}^{1} u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} u_{4}\right)$. In all cases, we have obtained a subgraph $S_{1,2,7}, S_{1,3,6}$ and $S_{1,4,5}$ such that its branch of length 1 is nonpendant, contradicting the fact that $G^{U} \in \mathcal{B}_{1,6} \cup \mathcal{B}_{2,5} \cup \mathcal{B}_{3,4}$.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1

Let $G$ be a 3 -connected $\left\{K_{1,3}, X\right\}$-free graph, where $X \in\left\{B_{1,6}, B_{2,5}, B_{3,4}\right\}$, and suppose, to the contrary, that $G$ is not Hamilton-connected. By Theorem $G$ and by Corollary 3, we can suppose that $G$ is UM-closed and $G \in \mathcal{B}_{1,6} \cup \mathcal{B}_{2,5} \cup \mathcal{B}_{3,4}$. Let thus $H=L^{-1}(G)$, and set $H_{0}=\operatorname{co}(H)$. By Theorem $\mathrm{F}(i i), H_{0}$ is 3-edge-connected. By Lemma H, we can assume that $H_{0}$ is 2-connected with $c\left(H_{0}\right) \geq 9$ and $\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right| \geq 10$, unless $H_{0} \simeq W$. However, if $H_{0} \simeq W$, then, by Theorem 4 and since $\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right|=8, H$ has an $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$-IDT for any $e_{1}, e_{2} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$ and hence also for any $e_{1}, e_{2} \in E(H)$, implying that $G=L(H)$ is Hamilton-connected, a contradiction. Thus, we have $c\left(H_{0}\right) \geq 9$ and $\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right| \geq 10$. We consider the possible cases separately and, for each of the subgraphs $B_{i, j}$, we distinguish cases according to the length of a longest cycle in $H_{0}$, and we attempt to identify a subgraph of type $S_{i, j, k}$.

Throughout the proof, in each of the cases, $C$ always denotes a cycle such that
(i) $C$ is a longest cycle in $H_{0}$,
(ii) subject to ( $i$ ), $C$ dominates in $H$ maximum number of edges.

We further denote $C=x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{c\left(H_{0}\right)}, R=V(H) \backslash V(C), N=\left\{y \in V\left(H_{0}\right) \mid N_{R}(y)=\emptyset\right\}$, $R_{0}=R \cap V\left(H_{0}\right)$, and if $R_{0} \neq \emptyset$, we set $R_{0}=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\left|R_{0}\right|}\right\}$ and we choose the notation such that $y_{1} x_{1} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. An edge $x_{i} x_{j} \in E\left(H_{0}\right) \backslash E(C)$ with $x_{i}, x_{j} \in V(C), 1 \leq i, j \leq|V(C)|$, will be called a chord of $C$, and we say that $x_{i} x_{j}$ is a $k$-chord if the shorter one of the two subpaths of $C$ determined by $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ has $k$ interior vertices.

There are several general comments to some situations in the proof.

- We will often list vertices of a subgraph $S_{i, j, k}$, and then the following is possible.
- When some edge $e=u v$ of the $S_{i, j, k}$ is in $E\left(H_{0}\right)$, it can always happen that $e$ is subdivided in $H$, i.e., formally, $e \notin E(H)$. However, it is immediate to see that if this happens, then the corresponding submultigraph of $H$, which instead of $e=u v$ contains a path $u z v$ with $z \in V_{2}(H)$, also contains $S_{i, j, k}$ as a subgraph.
- When a vertex $v \in V(C)$ has a (potential) neighbor $z \in R$ and the vertex $z$ occurs as the last vertex of a branch of the $S_{i, j, k}$, then such a vertex $z$ can be an endvertex of a pendant edge attached to $v$, or can be $z \in V_{2}(H)$ and $z$ subdivides some of the edges incident to $v$. It should be noted that in the second case, the vertices $v$ and $z$ can occur in reverse order in the list (i.e., $v$ being the last vertex of the branch).
- In many subcases, the cycle $C$ will be dominating, and we will consider its potential chords, using the fact that $\delta\left(H_{0}\right) \geq 3$. In such situations, it is always implicitly understood that none of the edges of $C$ can be a double edge, since if e.g. $x_{1} x_{2}$ is a double
edge with $V\left(e_{1}\right)=V\left(e_{2}\right)=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$, then $T=e_{1} x_{2} x_{3} \ldots x_{c\left(H_{0}\right)} x_{1} e_{2}$ is an $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$-IDT in $H$, contradicting Theorem $\mathrm{G}(v i i)(\beta)$.
These facts will be always implicitly understood throughout the proof.
Case 1: $G \in \mathcal{B}_{1,6}$.
Then $H$ does not contain as a subgraph the graph $S_{1,2,7}$ such that its branch of length 1 is in a nonpendant edge.

Subcase 1.1: $c\left(H_{0}\right)=9$ and $\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right| \geq 10$.
First observe that $E\left(\langle R\rangle_{H}\right)=\emptyset$, since if e.g. $y_{1} z \in E(H)$ for some $z \in R$, then $H$ contains the subgraph $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; y_{1} z ; x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} x_{5} x_{4} x_{3}\right)$ with branch of length 1 at nonpendant edge $x_{1} x_{2}$, a contradiction. Hence $N_{R}\left(y_{1}\right)=\emptyset$.
Next observe that $x_{2} \in N$ since otherwise, for some $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{2}\right), H$ contains the subgraph $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{1} ; y_{1} ; x_{2} z ; x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} x_{5} x_{4} x_{3}\right)$ (note that $x_{2} y_{1} \notin E(H)$ since $C$ is longest). Similarly, we have $N_{R}\left(x_{4}\right) \subset\left\{y_{1}\right\}$, since otherwise, for a vertex $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{4}\right) \backslash\left\{y_{1}\right\}$, we have $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{1} ; y_{1} ; x_{2} x_{3} ; x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} x_{5} x_{4} z\right)$ in $H$ (note that $y_{1} \in V\left(H_{0}\right)$, implying that the edge $x_{1} y_{1}$ is nonpendant in $\left.H\right)$. Symmetrically, $x_{9} \in N$ and $N_{R}\left(x_{7}\right) \subset\left\{y_{1}\right\}$.
Now, if $x_{2} x_{4} \notin E(H)$, then the set $A=\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{5}, x_{6}, x_{7}, x_{8}, x_{9}, y_{1}\right\}$ with $|A|=8$ dominates all edges in $H$, and, by Theorem $4, G=L(H)$ is Hamilton-connected, a contradiction. Hence $x_{2} x_{4} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. Analogously, by Theorem 4, considering the set $A=\left(V(C) \cup\left\{y_{1}\right\}\right) \backslash\left\{x_{7}, x_{9}\right\}$ with $|A|=8$, we have $x_{7} x_{9} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, and considering the set $A=\left(V(C) \cup\left\{y_{1}\right\}\right) \backslash\left\{x_{2}, x_{9}\right\}$ with $|A|=8$, we have $x_{2} x_{9} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. But then the edges $x_{2} x_{4}, x_{7} x_{9}$ and $x_{2} x_{9}$ are three 1-chords in $C$, creating three triangles in $H_{0}$, which contradicts Theorem G(vi).
Subcase 1.2: $c\left(H_{0}\right)=\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right|=10$.
Since $\delta\left(H_{0}\right) \geq 3$, every vertex of $C$ is in a chord.
Subcase 1.2.1: $C$ has a 1 -chord.
Choose the notation such that $x_{1} x_{3} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. Then $x_{2} \in N$ for otherwise, for a $z \in$ $N_{R}\left(x_{2}\right)$, $H$ contains $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{1} ; x_{3} ; x_{2} z ; x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} x_{5} x_{4}\right)$. Similarly $x_{4} \in N$, for otherwise $H$ contains $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{3} ; x_{2} ; x_{4} z ; x_{1} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} x_{5}\right)$. Considering the set $A=V(C) \backslash\left\{x_{2}, x_{4}\right\}$ with $|A|=8$, we have $x_{2} x_{4} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$ by Theorem 4. But then the two 1 -chords $x_{1} x_{3}$ and $x_{2} x_{4}$ create a diamond (see Fig. 3) in $H_{0}$, contradicting Theorem G(vi).
Subcase 1.2.2: $C$ has a 2-chord.
Choose the notation such that $x_{1} x_{4} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. If there is a vertex $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{5}\right) \cup N_{R}\left(x_{6}\right)$, we have $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{1} ; x_{4} ; x_{2} x_{3} ; x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} x_{5} z\right)$ or $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{4} ; x_{5} ; x_{3} x_{2} ; x_{1} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} z\right)$ in $H$. Hence $\left\{x_{5}, x_{6}\right\} \subset N$, and, symmetrically, $\left\{x_{9}, x_{10}\right\} \subset N$. Then, using Theorem 4 and the assumption that $G$ is not Hamilton-connected, the set $A_{1}=V(C) \backslash\left\{x_{5}, x_{10}\right\}$ with $\left|A_{1}\right|=8$ yields $x_{5} x_{10} \in E\left(H_{0}\right), A_{2}=V(C) \backslash\left\{x_{6}, x_{9}\right\}$ with $\left|A_{2}\right|=8$ yields $x_{6} x_{9} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, and $A_{3}=V(C) \backslash\left\{x_{5}, x_{9}\right\}$ with $\left|A_{3}\right|=8$ yields $x_{5} x_{9} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. But then the chords $x_{5} x_{10}, x_{6} x_{9}$ and $x_{5} x_{9}$ create a diamond in $H_{0}$, contradicting Theorem G(vi).
Subcase 1.2.3: $C$ has a 3 -chord.

Let $x_{1} x_{5} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. Since $\delta\left(H_{0}\right) \geq 3, x_{3}$ is in a chord, and by the previous subcases, since $|V(C)|=10$ and by symmetry, we have $x_{3} x_{7} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$ (a 3 -chord), or $x_{3} x_{8} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$ (a 4-chord).
Let first $x_{3} x_{7} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. Then $x_{2} \in N$, for otherwise, for a $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{2}\right)$, we have $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{3} ; x_{4} ; x_{2} z ; x_{7} x_{6} x_{5} x_{1} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8}\right)$ in $H$. Similarly, $x_{4} \in N$, for otherwise, for a $z \in$ $N_{R}\left(x_{4}\right)$, we have $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{3} ; x_{2} ; x_{4} z ; x_{7} x_{6} x_{5} x_{1} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8}\right)$ in $H$. Then, using the set $A=$ $V(C) \backslash\left\{x_{2}, x_{4}\right\}$ with $|A|=8$, we have $x_{2} x_{4} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$ by Theorem 4 , and we are back in Subcase 1.2.1.
Thus, $x_{3} x_{8} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. Then, for a $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{2}\right), S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{3} ; x_{4} ; x_{2} z ; x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} x_{5} x_{1} x_{10} x_{9}\right)$ is a subgraph of $H$, hence $x_{2} \in N$. Symmetrically, $x_{4} \in N$. Then Theorem 4 for the set $A=V(C) \backslash\left\{x_{2}, x_{4}\right\}$ with $|A|=8$ implies $x_{2} x_{4} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, and we are again back in Subcase 1.2.1.
Subcase 1.2.4: $C$ has a 4-chord.
By the previous subcases, all chords in $C$ are 4-chords. If, say, $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{1}\right)$, then $H$ contains $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{5} ; x_{6} ; x_{4} x_{3} ; x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{2} x_{1} z\right)$. Hence $x_{1} \in N$, and, symmetrically, $x_{3} \in N$. Then, for the set $A=V(C) \backslash\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}\right\}$ with $|A|=8$, Theorem 4 implies 1-chord $x_{1} x_{3} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, a contradiction.

Subcase 1.3: $c\left(H_{0}\right) \geq 10$ and $\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right|>c\left(H_{0}\right)$.
Set $c\left(H_{0}\right)=t$. Then $H$ contains $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{1} ; y_{1} ; x_{2} x_{3} ; x_{t} x_{t-1} x_{t-2} x_{t-3} x_{t-4} x_{t-5} x_{t-6}\right)$ (note that $t-6>3$ since $t \geq 10$, and that the edge $x_{1} y_{1}$ is nonpendant since $y_{1} \in V\left(H_{0}\right)$ ).
Subcase 1.4: $c\left(H_{0}\right)=\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right|=11$.
Since $\delta\left(H_{0}\right) \geq 3$, every vertex of $C$ is in a chord. If $x_{1} x_{3} \in E\left(H_{0}\right), H$ contains the subgraph $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3} x_{4} ; x_{11} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} x_{5}\right)$. Similarly, if $x_{1} x_{4} \in E\left(H_{0}\right), H$ contains $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{4} x_{3} ; x_{11} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} x_{5}\right)$. Hence $C$ has only $k$-chords for $3 \leq k \leq 4$.
Suppose that $C$ has a 3 -chord and let $x_{1} x_{5} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. Then $x_{3}$ has a chord, i.e., by symmetry, $x_{3} x_{7} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$ or $x_{3} x_{8} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, but in the first case $H$ contains the subgraph $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{5} x_{6} ; x_{11} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{3} x_{4}\right)$, and in the second case $H$ contains the subgraph $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{5} ; x_{4} ; x_{6} x_{7} ; x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{8} x_{9} x_{10} x_{11}\right)$.
Hence the only chords in $C$ are 4 -chords. Let $x_{1} x_{6} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. Then $x_{9}$ has a chord and, by symmetry, the only possibility is $x_{3} x_{9} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. Then $H$ contains the subgraph $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{11} x_{10} ; x_{6} x_{7} x_{8} x_{9} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5}\right)$.
Subcase 1.5: $c\left(H_{0}\right)=\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right|=12$.
If $x_{1} x_{3} \in E\left(H_{0}\right), H$ contains $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3} x_{4} ; x_{12} x_{11} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6}\right)$, and if $x_{1} x_{k} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$ for $4 \leq k \leq 5, H$ contains $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{1} ; x_{k} ; x_{2} x_{3} ; x_{12} x_{11} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6}\right)$. Hence $C$ has only 4 -chords and 5 -chords.
Let $x_{1} x_{6} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$ be a 4 -chord of $C$. Then $x_{3}$ is in a 4 -chord or in a 5 -chord. There are the following possibilities.

| Chord at $x_{3}$ | Subgraph $S_{1,2,7}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $x_{3} x_{8}$ | $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{3} ; x_{2} ; x_{4} x_{5} ; x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} x_{1} x_{12} x_{11} x_{10}\right)$ |
| $x_{3} x_{9}$ | $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{3} ; x_{2} ; x_{4} x_{5} ; x_{9} x_{10} x_{11} x_{12} x_{1} x_{6} x_{7}\right)$ |
| $x_{3} x_{10}$ | $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{3} ; x_{2} ; x_{4} x_{5} ; x_{10} x_{11} x_{12} x_{1} x_{6} x_{7} x_{8}\right)$ |

Thus, $C$ has only 5 -chords. Then $H$ contains $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{1} ; x_{12} ; x_{2} x_{3} ; x_{7} x_{8} x_{9} x_{10} x_{4} x_{5} x_{6}\right)$.
Subcase 1.6: $c\left(H_{0}\right)=\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right|=13$.
If $x_{1} x_{k} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$ for $3 \leq k \leq 5$, then $H$ contains $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{k} x_{k+1} ; x_{13} x_{12} x_{11} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7}\right)$, and if $x_{1} x_{6} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, then $H$ contains $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{6} x_{5} ; x_{13} x_{12} x_{11} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7}\right)$. Thus, the only chords in $C$ are 5 -chords. Then $x_{1} x_{7} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$ and, up to a symmetry, $x_{4} x_{10} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, and then $H$ contains $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{13} x_{12} ; x_{7} x_{8} x_{9} x_{10} x_{4} x_{5} x_{6}\right)$.
Subcase 1.7: $c\left(H_{0}\right)=\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right|=14$.
If $x_{1} x_{k} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$ for $3 \leq k \leq 6$, then $H$ contains $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{k} x_{k+1} ; x_{14} x_{13} x_{12} x_{11} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8}\right)$, and if $x_{1} x_{7} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, then $H$ contains $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{7} x_{6} ; x_{14} x_{13} x_{12} x_{11} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8}\right)$. Thus, the only chords in $C$ are 6 -chords, and then $H$ contains $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{14} x_{13} ; x_{8} x_{9} x_{10} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} x_{6}\right)$.

Subcase 1.8: $c\left(H_{0}\right)=\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right| \geq 15$.
Set $c\left(H_{0}\right)=t$. If $x_{1} x_{3} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, we have $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3} x_{4} ; x_{t} x_{t-1} x_{t-2} x_{t-3} x_{t-4} x_{t-5} x_{t-6}\right)$ in $H$. Finally, if $x_{1} x_{k} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$ for $4 \leq k \leq\left\lfloor\frac{t}{2}\right\rfloor+1$, then $H$ contains the subgraph $S_{1,2,7}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{k} x_{k-1} ; x_{t} x_{t-1} x_{t-2} x_{t-3} x_{t-4} x_{t-5} x_{t-6}\right)$.

Case 2: $G \in \mathcal{B}_{2,5}$.
Then $H$ does not contain as a subgraph the graph $S_{1,3,6}$ such that its branch of length 1 is in a nonpendant edge.

Subcase 2.1: $c\left(H_{0}\right)=9$ and $\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right| \geq 10$.
First observe that $\langle R\rangle_{H}$ does not contain a path $P_{3}$ such that one of its endvertices has a neighbor on $C$, since if e.g. $P_{3}=y_{1} y_{2} y_{3} \subset\langle R\rangle_{H}$ is such a path with $x_{1} y_{1} \in E(H)$, we have $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{1} ; x_{9} ; y_{1} y_{2} y_{3} ; x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} x_{6} x_{7}\right)$ in $H$.
Since $H$ is essentially 3 -edge-connected, every edge in $\langle R\rangle_{H}$ is connected to $C$ by at least three edges (two of them possibly being a double edge).

Subcase 2.1.1: there is an edge $e=y_{1} y_{2} \in E\left(\langle R\rangle_{H}\right)$ such that $\left|N_{C}\left(\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}\right)\right| \geq 3$.
By symmetry, we assume that $y_{1} \in R_{0}$, and either $\left|N_{C}\left(y_{1}\right)\right| \geq 3$ (with $e$ possibly being pendant), or $\left|N_{C}\left(y_{1}\right)\right|=2$ and $\left|N_{C}\left(y_{2}\right)\right| \geq 1$. We consider the case $\left|N_{C}\left(y_{1}\right)\right| \geq 3$, and since all our contradictions will consist in finding an $S_{1,3,6}$ with the branch of length 1 at a nonpendant edge, or in finding a cycle contradicting the choice of $C$, our proof remains true also in the case when $\left|N_{C}\left(y_{1}\right)\right|=2$ and $\left|N_{C}\left(y_{2}\right)\right| \geq 1$, with only possibly reverse order of last two vertices of a branch ending at $y_{2}$ or of some branch being subdivided with $y_{2}$ in case of finding an $S_{1,3,6}$.
Thus, let $\left|N_{C}\left(y_{1}\right)\right| \geq 3$. Since $C$ is longest, no two neighbors of $y_{1}$ are consecutive on $C$. Up to a symmetry, we have three possible situations: $N_{C}\left(y_{1}\right) \supset\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{5}\right\}$, $N_{C}\left(y_{1}\right) \supset\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{6}\right\}$, and $N_{C}\left(y_{1}\right)=\left\{x_{1}, x_{4}, x_{7}\right\}$. We consider these cases separately.

Subcase 2.1.1.1: $N_{C}\left(y_{1}\right) \supset\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{5}\right\}$.
If $x_{2} \in N$, then the cycle $C^{\prime}=x_{1} y_{1} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} x_{6} x_{7} x_{8} x_{9} x_{1}$ dominates more edges than $C$, contradicting the choice of $C$. Hence $x_{2}$ has a neighbor $x_{2}^{\prime} \in R$. Symmetrically, $x_{4}$ has a neighbor $x_{4}^{\prime} \in R$, and, moreover, $x_{2}^{\prime} \neq x_{4}^{\prime}$, for otherwise we have
$S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{2} ; x_{3} ; x_{1} y_{1} y_{2} ; x_{2}^{\prime} x_{4} x_{5} x_{6} x_{7} x_{8}\right)$ in $H$. Also, $x_{2}^{\prime}, x_{4}^{\prime} \notin\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}$, for otherwise there is a cycle longer than $C$.
If $x_{8} y_{1} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, then $H$ contains $S_{1,3,6}\left(y_{1} ; x_{3} ; x_{1} x_{2} x_{2}^{\prime} ; x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} x_{5} x_{4} x_{4}^{\prime}\right)$, hence $x_{8} y_{1} \notin$ $E\left(H_{0}\right)$. Similarly, $x_{8} y_{2} \notin E(H)$. Now, if there is a vertex $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{8}\right), H$ contains $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{9} x_{8} z ; y_{1} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} x_{6} x_{7}\right)$; hence $x_{8} \in N$. Since $\delta\left(H_{0}\right) \geq 3, x_{8}$ is in a chord of $C$. We consider all possible chords containing $x_{8}$, and for each of them we obtain an $S_{1,3,6}$ in $H$.

| Chord at $x_{8}$ | Subgraph $S_{1,3,6}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $x_{8} x_{1}$ | $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{8} ; x_{9} ; x_{1} x_{2} x_{2}^{\prime} ; x_{7} x_{6} x_{5} x_{4} x_{3} y_{1}\right)$ |
| $x_{8} x_{2}$ | $S_{1,3,6}\left(y_{1} ; x_{1} ; x_{3} x_{4} x_{4}^{\prime} ; x_{5} x_{6} x_{7} x_{8} x_{2} x_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ |
| $x_{8} x_{3}$ | $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{8} ; x_{9} ; x_{3} x_{4} x_{4}^{\prime} ; x_{7} x_{6} x_{5} y_{1} x_{1} x_{2}\right)$ |
| $x_{8} x_{4}$ | $S_{1,3,6}\left(y_{1} ; x_{1} ; x_{3} x_{2} x_{2}^{\prime} ; x_{5} x_{6} x_{7} x_{8} x_{4} x_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ |
| $x_{8} x_{5}$ | $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{8} ; x_{7} ; x_{5} x_{4} x_{4}^{\prime} ; x_{9} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} y_{1} y_{2}\right)$ |
| $x_{8} x_{6}$ | $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{8} ; x_{7} ; x_{9} x_{1} y_{1} ; x_{6} x_{5} x_{4} x_{3} x_{2} x_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ |

The only remaining possibilities are that there is a double edge containing $x_{8}$. However, if $x_{8} x_{9}$ is a double edge, then, by symmetry, the same applies to $x_{7}$ and we have two double edges in $H_{0}$, and if $x_{7} x_{8}$ is a double edge, then we must have some of the above chords since otherwise $\left\{x_{6} x_{7}, x_{8} x_{9}\right\}$ is an edge-cut in $H_{0}$, a contradiction.

Subcase 2.1.1.2: $N_{C}\left(y_{1}\right) \supset\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{6}\right\}$.
By the choice of $C$, there is a vertex $x_{2}^{\prime} \in N_{R}\left(x_{2}\right) \backslash\left\{y_{1}\right\}$, for otherwise the cycle $C^{\prime}=x_{1} y_{1} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} x_{6} x_{7} x_{8} x_{9} x_{1}$ dominates more edges than $C$. But then we have $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{6} ; y_{1} ; x_{5} x_{4} x_{3} ; x_{7} x_{8} x_{9} x_{1} x_{2} x_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ in $H$, a contradiction.
Subcase 2.1.1.3: $N_{C}\left(y_{1}\right)=\left\{x_{1}, x_{4}, x_{7}\right\}$.
If there is a $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{2}\right), \mathrm{H}$ contains $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{4} ; y_{1} ; x_{3} x_{2} z ; x_{5} x_{6} x_{7} x_{8} x_{9} x_{1}\right)$, hence $x_{2} \in$ $N$ (note that $N_{R}\left(x_{2}\right) \cap\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}=\emptyset$ since $C$ is a longest cycle). By symmetry, $\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{5}, x_{6}, x_{8}, x_{9}\right\} \subset N$. Since $\delta\left(H_{0}\right) \geq 3, x_{2}$ is in a chord of $C$, and, since the same applies to any of the vertices $x_{3}, x_{5}, x_{6}, x_{8}$ and $x_{9}$, by symmetry, we can assume that the chord containing $x_{2}$ is neither a 1 -chord nor a double edge. Thus, by symmetry, $x_{2}$ is adjacent to $x_{5}, x_{6}$ or $x_{7}$.

| Chord at $x_{2}$ | Contradiction |
| :---: | :---: |
| $x_{2} x_{5}$ | $C^{\prime}=x_{1} y_{1} x_{4} x_{3} x_{2} x_{5} x_{6} x_{7} x_{8} x_{9} x_{1}$ longer than $C$ |
| $x_{2} x_{6}$ | $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{2} ; x_{3} ; x_{6} x_{5} x_{4} ; x_{1} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} y_{1} y_{2}\right)$ in $H$ |
| $x_{2} x_{7}$ | $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{2} ; x_{3} ; x_{1} x_{9} x_{8} ; x_{7} x_{6} x_{5} x_{4} y_{1} y_{2}\right)$ in $H$ |

Subcase 2.1.2: for every edge $e=y_{1} y_{2} \in E\left(\langle R\rangle_{H}\right),\left|N_{C}\left(\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}\right)\right|=2$.
Let $N_{C}\left(\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}\right)=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$ with $3 \leq s \leq 8$ and $x_{1} y_{1} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. Since $H_{0}$ is 3-edgeconnected and $y_{1} \in V\left(H_{0}\right)$, the edge $e$ is connected to $C$ by at least three edges.
If there is no double edge, we can choose the notation such that $x_{1} y_{1}, x_{s} y_{1}, x_{1} y_{2} \in E(H)$. But then, if $x_{2} y_{2} \notin E(H),\left\langle\left\{x_{1} y_{1} y_{2}\right\}\right\rangle_{H}$ is a triangle in $H$ with $d_{H}\left(y_{2}\right)=2$, contradicting Lemma E, and if $x_{2} y_{2} \in E(H)$, then $x_{1}, x_{s}, y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ determine a diamond in $H$, contradicting Theorem $\mathrm{G}(v i)$. Hence, $x_{1} y_{1}$ is a double edge, implying that every edge in $\langle R\rangle_{H}$ is incident to $y_{1}$.

Now, if there is a $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{3}\right) \backslash\left\{y_{1}\right\}$, we have $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{1} ; y_{1} ; x_{2} x_{3} z ; x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} x_{5} x_{4}\right)$ in $H$, and if there is a $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{5}\right) \backslash\left\{y_{1}\right\}$, we have $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{1} ; y_{1} ; x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} ; x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} x_{5} z\right)$ in $H$; hence $N_{R}\left(\left\{x_{3}, x_{5}\right\}\right) \subset\left\{y_{1}\right\}$. Moreover, $x_{3} x_{5} \notin E\left(H_{0}\right)$ by Theorem $\mathrm{G}(v i)$. Then the set $A=\left(V(C) \cup\left\{y_{1}\right\} \backslash\left\{x_{3}, x_{5}\right\}\right.$ with $|A|=8$ dominates all edges of $H$, hence $G=L(H)$ is Hamilton-connected by Theorem 4, a contradiction.
Subcase 2.1.3: $E\left(\langle R\rangle_{H}\right)=\emptyset$.
Choose again the notation such that $x_{1} y_{1} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$ with $y_{1} \in R_{0}$. Note that the edge $x_{1} y_{1}$ is nonpendant since $y_{1} \in R_{0}$. If there is a $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{3}\right) \backslash\left\{y_{1}\right\}$, we have $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{1} ; y_{1} ; x_{2} x_{3} z ; x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} x_{5} x_{4}\right)$ in $H$; hence $N_{R}\left(x_{3}\right) \subset\left\{y_{1}\right\}$. Similarly, $N_{R}\left(x_{5}\right) \subset$ $\left\{y_{1}\right\}$, since otherwise, for a $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{5}\right) \backslash\left\{y_{1}\right\}$, we have $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{1} ; y_{1} ; x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} ; x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} x_{5} z\right)$ in $H$. Symmetrically, $N_{R}\left(x_{6}\right) \subset\left\{y_{1}\right\}$. Consequently, if $x_{3} x_{5} \notin E\left(H_{0}\right)$, then the set $A=\left(V(C) \cup\left\{y_{1}\right\}\right) \backslash\left\{x_{3}, x_{5}\right\}$ with $|A|=8$ dominates all edges of $H$, implying that $G$ is Hamilton-connected by Theorem 4 , a contradiction. Hence $x_{3} x_{5} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. Analogously, by Theorem 4 , considering the set $A=\left(V(C) \cup\left\{y_{1}\right\}\right) \backslash\left\{x_{3}, x_{6}\right\}$ with $|A|=8$, we have $x_{3} x_{6} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. But then the two chords $x_{3} x_{5}$ and $x_{3} x_{6}$ create a diamond in $H_{0}$, contradicting Theorem G(vi).

Subcase 2.2: $c\left(H_{0}\right)=\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right|=10$.
Since $\delta\left(H_{0}\right) \geq 3$, every vertex of $C$ is in a chord.

## Subcase 2.2.1: $C$ has a 1-chord.

Choose the notation such that $x_{1} x_{3} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$.
If there is a $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{4}\right)$, we have $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3} x_{4} z ; x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} x_{5}\right)$ in $H$, hence $x_{4} \in N$. Also $x_{6} \in N$, for otherwise, for $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{6}\right)$, we have $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} ; x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} z\right)$ in $H$. Symmetrically, $\left\{x_{8}, x_{10}\right\} \subset N$. Theorem 4 for $A=V(C) \backslash\left\{x_{4}, x_{6}\right\}$ with $|A|=8$ implies $x_{4} x_{6} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, Theorem 4 for $A=V(C) \backslash\left\{x_{8}, x_{10}\right\}$ implies $x_{8} x_{10} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, and we have three triangles in $H_{0}$, contradicting Theorem $\mathrm{G}(v i)$.

## Subcase 2.2.2: $C$ has a 3 -chord.

Let $x_{1} x_{5} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. If $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{6}\right)$, we have $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{1} ; x_{5} ; x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} ; x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} z\right)$ in $H$; hence $x_{6} \in N$. Symmetrically, $x_{10} \in N$. Theorem 4 for the set $A=V(C) \backslash\left\{x_{6}, x_{10}\right\}$ with $|A|=8$ implies $x_{6} x_{10} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, and Theorem 4 for the set $A=V(C) \backslash\left\{x_{1}, x_{6}\right\}$ implies $x_{1} x_{6} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. The chords $x_{1} x_{5}, x_{6} x_{10}$ and $x_{1} x_{6}$ then determine a diamond in $H_{0}$, contradicting Theorem G(vi).
Subcase 2.2.3: $C$ has a 2 -chord.
Let $x_{1} x_{4} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. Then $x_{2}, x_{3} \in N$, since if there is a $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{3}\right)$, we have $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{1} ; x_{4} ; x_{2} x_{3} z ; x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} x_{5}\right)$ in $H$, and $x_{2} \in N$ follows by symmetry. Since $\delta\left(H_{0}\right) \geq 3$ and by the previous subcases, $x_{2}$ is in a 2 -chord or in a 4 -chord of $C$.
If $x_{2} x_{5} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, then, by symmetry, $x_{4} \in N$, Theorem 4 for the set $A=V(C) \backslash\left\{x_{2}, x_{4}\right\}$ implies $x_{2} x_{4} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, and we are back in subcase 2.1.1 (since $x_{2} x_{4}$ is a 1-chord of $C)$. If $x_{2} x_{9} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, then, by symmetry, $x_{1}, x_{10} \in N$, and Theorem 4 for the set $A=V(C) \backslash\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}\right\}$ implies 1-chord $x_{1} x_{3} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, a contradiction again.
Hence $x_{2}$ is in a 4-chord, i.e., $x_{2} x_{7} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. Then, for a $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{6}\right)$, we have $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{4} ; x_{3} ; x_{5} x_{6} z ; x_{1} x_{2} x_{7} x_{8} x_{9} x_{10}\right)$ in $H$; hence $x_{6} \in N$. Theorem 4 for the set $A=$
$V(C) \backslash\left\{x_{2}, x_{6}\right\}$ then implies $x_{2} x_{6} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, and we are back in Subcase 2.2.2.
Subcase 2.2.4: $C$ has only 4 -chords.
If there is a $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{1}\right)$, we have $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{5} ; x_{10} ; x_{6} x_{1} z ; x_{4} x_{3} x_{2} x_{7} x_{8} x_{9}\right)$ in $H$; hence $x_{1} \in N$. Symmetrically, $x_{3} \in N$. Theorem 4 for the set $A=V(C) \backslash\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}\right\}$ then implies the 1 -chord $x_{1} x_{3} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, and we are back in Subcase 2.2.1.

Subcase 2.3: $c\left(H_{0}\right) \geq 10$ and $\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right|>c\left(H_{0}\right)$.
Set $c\left(H_{0}\right)=t$. Then we have $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{1} ; y_{1} ; x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} ; x_{t} x_{t-1} x_{t-2} x_{t-3} x_{t-4} x_{t-5}\right)$ in $H$ (note that $t-5>4$ since $t \geq 10$, and the edge $x_{1} y_{1}$ is nonpendant since $y_{1} \in V\left(H_{0}\right)$ ).
Subcase 2.4: $c\left(H_{0}\right)=\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right|=11$.
Since $\delta\left(H_{0}\right) \geq 3$, every vertex of $C$ is in a chord.
Subcase 2.4.1: $C$ has a 1-chord.
Let $x_{1} x_{3} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. Then $H$ contains $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} ; x_{11} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6}\right)$.
Subcase 2.4.2: $C$ has a 3 -chord.
Let $x_{1} x_{5} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. Then $H$ contains $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{1} ; x_{5} ; x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} ; x_{11} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6}\right)$.
Subcase 2.4.3: $C$ has a 2-chord.
Let $x_{1} x_{4} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. If there is a $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{3}\right)$, we have $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{1} ; x_{4} ; x_{2} x_{3} z ; x_{11} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6}\right)$ in $H$; hence $x_{3} \in N$. Similarly, if there is a $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{5}\right)$, then $H$ contains the subgraph $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{4} x_{5} z ; x_{11} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6}\right) ;$ hence also $x_{5} \in N$. Theorem 4 for the set $A=$ $V(C) \backslash\left\{x_{3}, x_{8}\right\}$ then implies $x_{3} x_{5} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, and we are back in Subcase 2.4.1.
Subcase 2.4.3: $C$ has only 4-chords.
Since every vertex of $C$ is in a 4 -chord and $|V(C)|$ is odd, some two 4 -chords have a vertex in common. Choose the notation such that $x_{1} x_{6}, x_{1} x_{7} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. Since $x_{2}$ is in a 4 -chord and the edge $x_{2} x_{7}$ would create a diamond, necessarily $x_{2} x_{8} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. But then $H$ contains $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{8} ; x_{2} ; x_{9} x_{10} x_{11} ; x_{7} x_{1} x_{6} x_{5} x_{4} x_{3}\right)$.

Subcase 2.5: $c\left(H_{0}\right)=\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right|=12$.
If $x_{1}$ is in a $k$-chord for $1 \leq k \leq 2, H$ contains $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{k} x_{k+1} x_{k+2} ; x_{12} x_{11} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7}\right)$; if $x_{1}$ is in a $k$-chord for $3 \leq k \leq 4, H$ contains $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{k} x_{k-1} x_{k-2} ; x_{12} x_{11} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7}\right)$. Thus, by symmetry, every vertex of $C$ is in a 5 -chord. Then $H$ contains the subgraph $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{1} ; x_{12} ; x_{7} x_{6} x_{5} ; x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8}\right)$.

Subcase 2.6: $c\left(H_{0}\right)=\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right| \geq 13$.
Set $c\left(H_{0}\right)=t$. If $x_{1} x_{k} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$ for some $k, 3 \leq k \leq 4$, then $H$ contains the subgraph $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{k} x_{k+1} x_{k+2} ; x_{t} x_{t-1} x_{t-2} x_{t-3} x_{t-4} x_{t-5}\right)$, and if $x_{1} x_{k} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$ for some $k$ with $5 \leq k \leq\left\lfloor\frac{t}{2}\right\rfloor+1$, then $H$ contains $S_{1,3,6}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{k} x_{k-1} x_{k-2} ; x_{t} x_{t-1} x_{t-2} x_{t-3} x_{t-4} x_{t-5}\right)$.

Case 3: $G \in \mathcal{B}_{3,4}$.
Then $H$ does not contain as a subgraph the graph $S_{1,4,5}$ such that its branch of length 1 is in a nonpendant edge.

Subcase 3.1: $c\left(H_{0}\right)=9$ and $\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right| \geq 10$.
Claim 1. The multigraph $H$ does not contain a path $P$ such that $\operatorname{Int}(P) \subset R$ and either
(i) $|V(P)| \geq 5$ and one of its endvertices is in $V(C)$, or
(ii) $|V(P)| \geq 4$ and both its endvertices are in $V(C)$.

Proof. (i). If $P=x_{1} y_{1} \ldots y_{k}, k \geq 4$, is a path satisfying (i), then $H$ contains $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{1} ; x_{9} ; y_{1} y_{2} y_{3} y_{4} ; x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} x_{6}\right)$, a contradiction.
(ii). Let, to the contrary, $P=x_{1} y_{1} \ldots y_{k} x_{s}$, be a path satisfying (ii) for some $k \geq 2$ and $2 \leq s \leq 8$. If $s=2$, then the cycle, obtained from $C$ by replacing the edge $x_{1} x_{2}$ with the path $P$, is longer than $C$, a contradiction. By symmetry, $s \in\{3,4,5\}$. In each of these cases we have a subgraph of $H$ containing an $S_{1,4,5}$ with the branch of length 1 at a nonpendant edge.

| Case | Subgraph containing an $S_{1,4,5}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $s=3$ | $S_{1, \geq 4,5}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; y_{1} \ldots y_{k} x_{3} x_{4} ; x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} x_{5}\right)$ |
| $s=4$ | $S_{1, \geq 4,5}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; y_{1} \ldots y_{k} x_{4} x_{3} ; x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} x_{5}\right)$ |
| $s=5$ | $S_{1,4, \geq 5}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} ; y_{1} \ldots y_{k} x_{5} x_{4} x_{3}\right)$ |

Subcase 3.1.1: $E\left(\langle R\rangle_{H}\right) \neq \emptyset$.
Claim 2. Every edge in $E\left(\langle R\rangle_{H}\right)$ is a pendant edge of $H$, and one of its vertices is connected to $C$ by at least three edges.

Proof. Let first, to the contrary, $e=y_{1} y_{2} \in E\left(\langle R\rangle_{H}\right)$ be nonpendant, and choose the notation such that $y_{1} \in V\left(H_{0}\right)$. Since $d_{H}\left(y_{1}\right) \geq 3, d_{H}\left(y_{2}\right) \geq 2$ and $H$ is essentially 3-edge-connected, $e$ is connected to $C$ by three edge-disjoint paths $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$, two of them, say, $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, starting at $y_{1}$, and $P_{3}$ starting at $y_{2}$. Let $x_{i_{j}}$ be the endvertex of $P_{j}$ on $C, j=1,2,3$. If $P_{1}, P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ can be chosen such that $\left|\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}\right| \geq 2$, then there is a path satisfying the conditions of Claim $1(i i)$. Hence $i_{1}=i_{2}=i_{3}$, and this vertex is a cutvertex of $H$, contradicting the fact that $H_{0}$ is 2 -connected. Thus, $e$ is a pendant edge of $H$.
By the connectivity assumption, there are three edge-disjoint paths $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$, connecting $y_{1}$ to $C$. Since $H_{0}$ is 2-connected, the paths $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ can be chosen such that least two of their endvertices are distinct. But then necessarily $\operatorname{Int}\left(P_{i}\right)=\emptyset, i=1,2,3$, since otherwise we have a path satisfying the conditions of Claim $1(i i)$.

Subcase 3.1.1.1: there is an edge $e=y_{1} y_{2} \in E\left(\langle R\rangle_{H}\right)$ such that $\left|N_{C}\left(y_{1}\right)\right| \geq 3$.
Since $C$ is longest, no two neighbors of $y_{1}$ are consecutive on $C$; thus, up to a symmetry, $N_{C}\left(y_{1}\right) \supset\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{5}\right\}, N_{C}\left(y_{1}\right) \supset\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{6}\right\}$, or $N_{C}\left(y_{1}\right)=\left\{x_{1}, x_{4}, x_{7}\right\}$.

Subcase 3.1.1.1.1: $N_{C}\left(y_{1}\right) \supset\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{5}\right\}$.
If $x_{2} \in N$, then the cycle $C^{\prime}=x_{1} y_{1} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} x_{6} x_{7} x_{8} x_{9} x_{1}$ dominates more edges than $C$, contradicting the choice of $C$. Hence there is an $x_{2}^{\prime} \in N_{R}\left(x_{2}\right)$. We have $x_{2}^{\prime} \neq y_{1}$ since $C$ is longest. But then $H$ contains $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{5} ; y_{1} ; x_{4} x_{3} x_{2} x_{2}^{\prime} ; x_{6} x_{7} x_{8} x_{9} x_{1}\right)$.
Subcase 3.1.1.1.2: $N_{C}\left(y_{1}\right) \supset\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{6}\right\}$.
Then similarly there is a vertex $x_{2}^{\prime} \in N_{R}\left(x_{2}\right) \backslash\left\{y_{1}\right\}$, and $H$ contains the subgraph $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{6} ; y_{1} ; x_{7} x_{8} x_{9} x_{1} ; x_{5} x_{4} x_{3} x_{2} x_{2}^{\prime}\right)$.
Subcase 3.1.1.1.3: $N_{C}\left(y_{1}\right)=\left\{x_{1}, x_{4}, x_{7}\right\}$.
If there is an $x_{2}^{\prime} \in N_{R}\left(x_{2}\right) \backslash\left\{y_{1}\right\}$, we have $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{7} ; y_{1} ; x_{6} x_{5} x_{4} x_{3} ; x_{8} x_{9} x_{1} x_{2} x_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ in H. Moreover, $N_{R}\left(x_{2}\right) \cap\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}=\emptyset$ since $C$ is longest. Hence $x_{2} \in N$. Since $\delta\left(H_{0}\right) \geq 3$, there is a chord of $C$ containing $x_{2}$. Below we consider, up to a symmetry, all possible 2 -chords and 3 -chords containing $x_{2}$.

| Chord at $x_{2}$ | Contradiction |
| :---: | :---: |
| $x_{2} x_{5}$ | $C^{\prime}=x_{1} y_{1} x_{4} x_{3} x_{2} x_{5} x_{6} x_{7} x_{8} x_{9} x_{1}$ longer than $C$ |
| $x_{2} x_{6}$ | $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{2} ; x_{3} ; x_{1} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} ; x_{6} x_{5} x_{4} y_{1} y_{2}\right)$ in $H$ |
| $x_{2} x_{7}$ | $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{7} ; x_{2} ; x_{6} x_{5} x_{4} x_{3} ; x_{8} x_{9} x_{1} y_{1} y_{2}\right)$ in $H$ |

Thus, $x_{2}$ is in a 1 -chord or in a double edge. However, by symmetry, the same applies to the vertices $x_{3}, x_{5}, x_{6}, x_{8}$ and $x_{9}$, and we have at least three triangles or double edges in $H$, contradicting Theorem G(vi).

Subcase 3.1.1.2: for every edge $e=y_{1} y_{2} \in E\left(\langle R\rangle_{H}\right)$, we have $\left|N_{C}\left(y_{1}\right)\right|=2$.
Then $x_{1} y_{1}$ is a double edge, implying that every edge in $\langle R\rangle_{H}$ contains $y_{1}$. If there is an $x_{4}^{\prime} \in N_{R}\left(x_{4}\right) \backslash\left\{y_{1}\right\}$, then $H$ contains $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{1} ; y_{1} ; x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} x_{4}^{\prime} ; x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} x_{5}\right)$, hence $N_{R}\left(x_{4}\right) \subset\left\{y_{1}\right\}$. Similarly, if there is an $x_{5}^{\prime} \in N_{R}\left(x_{5}\right) \backslash\left\{y_{1}\right\}$, then $H$ contains $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{1} ; y_{1} ; x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} ; x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} x_{5}^{\prime}\right)$, hence $N_{R}\left(x_{5}\right) \subset\left\{y_{1}\right\}$. By symmetry, also $N_{R}\left(\left\{x_{6}, x_{7}\right\}\right) \subset\left\{y_{1}\right\}$. Considering the set $A_{1}=\left(V(C) \cup\left\{y_{1}\right\}\right) \backslash\left\{x_{4}, x_{6}\right\}$ with $\left|A_{1}\right|=8$ and the fact that $G$ is not Hamilton-connected, Theorem 4 implies $x_{4} x_{6} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. But then the chord $x_{4} x_{6}$ creates a triangle in $H_{0}$, contradicting Theorem $\mathrm{G}(v i)$ since $x_{1} y_{1}$ is a double edge.

Subcase 3.1.2: $E\left(\langle R\rangle_{H}\right)=\emptyset$.
Let $y_{1} \in R_{0}$ with $x_{1} y_{1} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$ (this is always possible by Claim 1 and since $H_{0}$ is 3-edge-connected). Similarly as in Subcase 3.1.1.2, $N_{R}\left(x_{4}\right) \subset\left\{y_{1}\right\}$ (otherwise, for an $x_{4}^{\prime} \in N_{R}\left(x_{4}\right) \backslash\left\{y_{1}\right\}, H$ contains $\left.S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{1} ; y_{1} ; x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} x_{4}^{\prime} ; x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} x_{5}\right)\right)$, and $N_{R}\left(x_{5}\right) \subset\left\{y_{1}\right\}$ (otherwise, for an $x_{5}^{\prime} \in N_{R}\left(x_{4}\right) \backslash\left\{y_{1}\right\}, H$ contains $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{1} ; y_{1} ; x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6} ; x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} x_{5}^{\prime}\right)$ ). By symmetry, also $N_{R}\left(\left\{x_{6}, x_{7}\right\}\right) \subset\left\{y_{1}\right\}$. Considering the sets $A_{1}=\left(V(C) \cup\left\{y_{1}\right\}\right) \backslash$ $\left\{x_{4}, x_{6}\right\}$ and $A_{2}=\left(V(C) \cup\left\{y_{1}\right\}\right) \backslash\left\{x_{4}, x_{7}\right\}$ with $\left|A_{1}\right|=\left|A_{2}\right|=8$, Theorem 4 implies $x_{4} x_{6} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$ and $x_{4} x_{7} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, and then the two chords $x_{4} x_{6}$ and $x_{4} x_{7}$ create a diamond in $H_{0}$, contradicting Theorem G(vi).

Subcase 3.2: $c\left(H_{0}\right)=\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right|=10$.
Since $\delta\left(H_{0}\right) \geq 3$, every vertex of $C$ is in a chord.

Subcase 3.2.1: $C$ has a 1-chord.
Choose the notation such that $x_{1} x_{3} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. If there is a $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{5}\right)$, then $H$ contains $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} z ; x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6}\right)$; hence $x_{5} \in N$. Symmetrically, $x_{9} \in N$. If there is a $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{7}\right)$, then $H$ contains $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} x_{6} ; x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} z\right)$; hence also $x_{7} \in N$. Theorem 4 for $A_{1}=V(C) \backslash\left\{x_{5}, x_{7}\right\}$ then implies $x_{5} x_{7} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, Theorem 4 for $A_{2}=V(C) \backslash\left\{x_{7}, x_{9}\right\}$ implies $x_{7} x_{9} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, and the three 1-chords $x_{1} x_{3}, x_{5} x_{7}$ and $x_{7} x_{9}$ determine three triangles in $H_{0}$, contradicting Theorem G(vi).
Subcase 3.2.2: $C$ has a 3 -chord.
Let $x_{1} x_{5} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. If there is a $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{4}\right)$, we have $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{1} ; x_{5} ; x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} z ; x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} x_{6}\right)$ in $H$; hence $x_{4} \in N$. Symmetrically, $x_{2} \in N$. From Theorem 4 for the set $A=$ $V(C) \backslash\left\{x_{2}, x_{4}\right\}$ we then have $x_{2} x_{4} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$; however, $x_{2} x_{4}$ is a 1 -chord of $C$, and we are back in Subcase 3.2.1.
Subcase 3.2.3: $C$ has a 4-chord.
Let $x_{1} x_{6} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. Then $x_{7} \in N$, since otherwise, for a $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{7}\right)$, $H$ contains $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{1} ; x_{6} ; x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} ; x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7} z\right)$. Symmetrically, $x_{5} \in N$. Theorem 4 for the set $A=V(C) \backslash\left\{x_{5}, x_{7}\right\}$ then yields $x_{5} x_{7} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, and we are again back in Subcase 3.2.1.
Subcase 3.2.4: every chord in $C$ is a 2 -chord.
Let $x_{1} x_{4} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. Since $x_{2}$ is in a 2 -chord, we have $x_{2} x_{9} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$ or $x_{2} x_{5} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$.
Let first $x_{2} x_{9} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. Then $x_{10} \in N$, since otherwise, for a $z \in N_{R}\left(x_{10}\right), H$ contains $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{4} ; x_{3} ; x_{5} x_{6} x_{7} x_{8} ; x_{1} x_{2} x_{9} x_{10} z\right)$. Symmetrically, $x_{5} \in N$. Theorem 4 for the set $A=$ $V(C) \backslash\left\{x_{5}, x_{10}\right\}$ then implies $x_{5} x_{10} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, and we are back in Subcase 3.2.3. Thus, $x_{2} x_{5} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. Since $x_{3}$ is in a 2-chord, we have, up to a symmetry, $x_{3} x_{6} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. But then we are in a situation symmetric to the first case.

Subcase 3.3: $c\left(H_{0}\right) \geq 10$ and $\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right|>c\left(H_{0}\right)$.
Set $c\left(H_{0}\right)=t$. Then $H$ contains the subgraph $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{1} ; y_{1} ; x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} ; x_{t} x_{t-1} x_{t-2} x_{t-3} x_{t-4}\right)$, a contradiction.
Subcase 3.4: $c\left(H_{0}\right)=\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right|=11$.
Since $\delta\left(H_{0}\right) \geq 3$, every vertex of $C$ is in a chord.
Subcase 3.4.1: $C$ has a 1-chord.
Let $x_{1} x_{3} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. Then $H$ contains $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} x_{6} ; x_{11} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7}\right)$.
Subcase 3.4.2: $C$ has a 4 -chord.
Let $x_{1} x_{6} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. Then $H$ contains $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{1} ; x_{6} ; x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} ; x_{11} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} x_{7}\right)$.
Subcase 3.4.3: $C$ has a 3 -chord.
Let $x_{1} x_{5} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. By the previous subcases, $x_{3}$ is in a 2 -chord or in a 3 -chord. Thus, up to a symmetry, $x_{3} x_{6} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$ or $x_{3} x_{7} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. However, if $x_{3} x_{6} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, $H$ contains $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{11} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} ; x_{5} x_{4} x_{3} x_{6} x_{7}\right)$, and if $x_{3} x_{7} \in E\left(H_{0}\right), H$ contains $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{11} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8} ; x_{5} x_{4} x_{3} x_{7} x_{6}\right)$.
Subcase 3.4.4: every chord in $C$ is a 2 -chord.

Let $x_{1} x_{4} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. Then $x_{2}$ is in a 2-chord, i.e., $x_{2} x_{10} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$ or $x_{2} x_{5} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$. If $x_{2} x_{10} \in E\left(H_{0}\right), H$ contains $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{4} ; x_{3} ; x_{1} x_{2} x_{10} x_{11} ; x_{5} x_{6} x_{7} x_{8} x_{9}\right)$. Hence $x_{2} x_{5} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, and then, for any 2 -chord containing $x_{3}$ we are in a situation symmetric to the first case.

Subcase 3.5: $c\left(H_{0}\right)=\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right|=12$.
We show that $C$ does not have a $k$-chord for $k \in\{1,2,4,5\}$.

| Chord in $C$ | Subgraph $S_{1,4,5}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1-chord $x_{1} x_{3}$ | $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} x_{6} ; x_{12} x_{11} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8}\right)$ |
| 2-chord $x_{1} x_{4}$ | $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{4} x_{5} x_{6} x_{7} ; x_{12} x_{11} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8}\right)$ |
| 4-chord $x_{1} x_{6}$ | $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{1} ; x_{6} ; x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} ; x_{12} x_{11} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8}\right)$ |
| 5-chord $x_{1} x_{7}$ | $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{1} ; x_{7} ; x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} ; x_{12} x_{11} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8}\right)$ |

Hence any chord in $C$ is a 3 -chord. Let $x_{1} x_{5} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$ be a 3 -chord. Up to a symmetry, $x_{3} x_{7} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$, and then $H$ contains $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{5} x_{4} x_{3} x_{7} ; x_{12} x_{11} x_{10} x_{9} x_{8}\right)$.
Subcase 3.6: $c\left(H_{0}\right)=\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right| \geq 13$.
Set $c\left(H_{0}\right)=t$. If $x_{1} x_{k} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$ for some $k, 3 \leq k \leq 5$, then $H$ contains the subgraph $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{k} x_{k+1} x_{k+2} x_{k+3} ; x_{t} x_{t-1} x_{t-2} x_{t-3} x_{t-4}\right)$, and if $x_{1} x_{k} \in E\left(H_{0}\right)$ for some $k$ with $5 \leq k \leq\left\lfloor\frac{t}{2}\right\rfloor+1$, then $H$ contains $S_{1,4,5}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{k} x_{k-1} x_{k-2} x_{k-3} ; x_{t} x_{t-1} x_{t-2} x_{t-3} x_{t-4}\right)$.

## 6 Concluding remarks

1. Theorem 1 admits a slight extension as follows. For $s \geq 0$, a graph $G$ is $s$-Hamiltonconnected if the graph $G-M$ is Hamilton-connected for any set $M \subset V(G)$ with $|M| \leq s$. Obviously, an $s$-Hamilton-connected graph must be $(s+3)$-connected. Since an induced subgraph of a $\left\{K_{1,3}, B_{i, j}\right\}$-free graph is also $\left\{K_{1,3}, B_{i, j}\right\}$-free, we immediately have the following fact, showing that, in $\left\{K_{1,3}, B_{i, j}\right\}$-free graphs with $i+j \leq 7$, the obvious necessary condition is also sufficient.

Corollary 5. Let $s, i, j$ be integers such that $s \geq 0, i, j \geq 1$ and $i+j \leq 7$, and let $G$ be a $\left\{K_{1,3}, B_{i, j}\right\}$-free graph. Then $G$ is $s$-Hamilton-connected if and only if $G$ is $(s+3)$-connected.
2. We can now update the discussion of potential pairs $X, Y$ of connected graphs that might imply Hamilton-connectedness of a 3 -connected $\{X, Y\}$-free graph, as summarized in [14].

As shown in [6], up to a symmetry, necessarily $X=K_{1,3}$, and, summarizing the discussions from [3], [6], [7] and [14], there are the following possibilities for $Y$ (see Fig. 1 for the graphs $Z_{i}, B_{i, j}$ and $N_{i, j, k}$, and Fig. 2(a) for the graph $\left.\Gamma_{i}\right)$ :
(i) $Y \in\left\{\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{3}\right\}$, or $Y=\Gamma_{5}$ for $n=|V(G)| \geq 21$,
(ii) $Y=P_{i}$ with $4 \leq i \leq 9$,
(iii) $Y=Z_{i}$ with $i \leq 6$, or $Y=Z_{7}$ for $n=|V(G)| \geq 21$,
(iv) $Y=B_{i, j}$ with $i+j \leq 7$,
(v) $Y=N_{i, j, k}$ with $i+j+k \leq 7$.

Best known results in the direction of each of these subgraphs are summarized in Theorem A, and we summarize the current status of the problem in the following table.

| $Y$ | Possible | Best known | Reference | Open |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Gamma_{i}$ | $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{3}, \Gamma_{5}$ for $n \geq 21$ | $\Gamma_{1}$ | $[6]$ | $\Gamma_{3} ; \Gamma_{5}$ for $n \geq 21$ |
| $P_{i}$ | $4 \leq i \leq 9$ | $P_{9}$ | $[3]$ | - |
| $Z_{i}$ | $i \leq 6 ; Z_{7}$ for $n \geq 21$ | $Z_{6} ; Z_{7}$ for $G \nsucceq L\left(W^{1}\right)$ | $[20]$ | - |
| $B_{i, j}$ | $i+j \leq 7$ | $i+j \leq 7$ | This paper | - |
| $N_{i, j, k}$ | $i+j+k \leq 7$ | $i+j+k \leq 7$ | $[13,14,15]$ | - |

Thus, the only remaining cases are the $\Gamma_{3}$ and the $\Gamma_{5}$ for $n \geq 21$. The problem here is that although we are able to construct a closure operation that turns a $\left\{K_{1,3}, \Gamma_{i}\right\}$-free graph into the line graph of a multigraph and preserves both Hamilton-connectedness and the property of being $\Gamma_{i}$-free, the structure still remains too complicated to be reasonably handled.
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